

LOXODROMIC ELEMENTS FOR THE RELATIVE FREE FACTOR COMPLEX

RADHIKA GUPTA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that a fully irreducible outer automorphism relative to a non-exceptional free factor system acts loxodromically on the relative free factor complex as defined in [HM14]. We also prove a north-south dynamic result for the action of such outer automorphisms on the closure of relative outer space.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of the outer automorphism group $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F})$ of a free group $\mathbb{F}$ of rank n is highly motivated by the parallels with the mapping class group $\text{MCG}(\Sigma)$ of a surface Σ . $\text{MCG}(\Sigma)$ acts on a simplicial complex called the *curve complex* $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$. In 1999, Masur and Minsky [MM99] showed that $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ is hyperbolic and since then it has played a crucial role in understanding $\text{MCG}(\Sigma)$. Several analogues of the curve complex for $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F})$ have been defined and proven to be hyperbolic, like the *free factor complex*, the *free splitting complex* and the *cyclic splitting complex*. But none of them have proven to be as useful as the curve complex.

For instance, when a mapping class group element acts on $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ with a fixed point, that is, it fixes a curve α , then one can look at its action on the curve complex of the subsurface given by the complement of α . On the other hand, consider an outer automorphism which fixes a free factor A in the free factor complex of $\mathbb{F}$. Since the complement of A in $\mathbb{F}$ is not well defined one cannot pass to the free factor complex of a free group of lower rank. In [HM14], Handel and Mosher define *free factor complex relative to a free factor system* $\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ which is an $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F})$ -analog of the curve complex for a subsurface. They also prove that these relative complexes are hyperbolic for *non-exceptional* free factor systems.

Our main theorem is a relative version of a result of [MM99] that a mapping class group element acts loxodromically, that is with positive translation length, on the curve complex if and only if it is a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism. Let $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ be the subgroup of $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F})$ containing outer automorphisms that fix $\mathcal{A}$. After passing to a finite index subgroup we can assume that each free factor in $\mathcal{A}$ is invariant under the elements of $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$. An outer automorphism $\Phi \in \text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ is *fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$* if no power of Φ fixes a non-trivial free factor system of $\mathbb{F}$ properly containing $\mathcal{A}$.

Theorem A. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-exceptional free factor system and let $\Phi \in \text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$. Then Φ acts loxodromically on $\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ if and only if Φ is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$.*

Alternative proof of Theorem A was announced by Handel and Mosher in [HM14] by generalizing their arguments, which use weak attraction theory, for loxodromic elements for the free splitting complex. Independently, Guirardel and Horbez [GH16] have an alternate proof of Theorem A using the boundary of the relative free factor complex.

Pseudo-Anosov and the curve complex. We give an outline of how to prove that a pseudo-Anosov homeomorphism acts loxodromically on the curve complex to illustrate the strategy we use to prove Theorem A. The following proof is due to Bestvina and Fujiwara [BF02, Proposition 11].

The author was partially supported by the NSF grant of Mladen Bestvina (DMS-1607236).

Let Σ be a closed surface of genus g and let $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma)$ be the curve complex. Let Ψ be a pseudo-Anosov mapping class group element. Let Λ^+ and Λ^- be the attracting and repelling measured laminations associated to Ψ . Let $\mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$ be the space of all projective measured laminations which contains the curve complex as a subset. We will need the following facts:

- The pseudo-Anosov Ψ acts on $\mathcal{PML}(\Sigma)$ with uniform north-south dynamics, that is, there are two fixed points Λ^+ and Λ^- and any compact set not containing $\Lambda^-(\Lambda^+)$ converges to $\Lambda^+(\Lambda^-)$ under $\Psi(\Psi^{-1})$ -iterates.
- The intersection number $i(\cdot, \cdot)$ between two curves in the curve complex extends to a continuous, symmetric bilinear form $i : \mathcal{PML}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{PML}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- The fixed points Λ^+ and Λ^- are uniquely self-dual, that is, $i(\Lambda^\pm, \mu) = 0$ if and only if $\mu = \Lambda^\pm$.

If U is a neighborhood of Λ^+ then there exists a neighborhood V of Λ^- , such that $V \subset U$ and if $a \in U^C$, $b \in V$ then $i(a, b) > 0$. Indeed, if this is not true then we can find a sequence of neighborhoods $U \supset V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \dots$ and curves $a_i \in U^C$ and $b_i \in V_i$ such that $\{b_i\}$ converges to Λ^+ , $\{a_i\}$ converges to $a \neq \Lambda^+$ and $i(a_i, b_i) = 0$. But by continuity of the intersection number, $i(a_i, b_i)$ converges to $i(a, \Lambda^+)$ which is not zero. We call such a pair a UV-pair. Now consider a sequence of nested neighborhoods of Λ^+ , $U_0 \supset U_1 \supset U_2 \supset U_3 \supset \dots \supset U_{2N}$ for some $N > 0$, such that the following hold:

- (U_i, U_{i+1}) is a UV-pair for all $0 \leq i < 2N$.
- $\exists k > 0$ such that for all $0 \leq i < 2N$, $\Psi^k(U_i) \subset U_{i+1}$

Let a be a curve such that $a \in U_0$ and $a \notin U_1$. Then by using the nested sets we get that $d(a, \Psi^{2Nk}(a)) > N$ in the curve complex.

The above proof strategy can also be employed to prove that a fully irreducible outer automorphism acts loxodromically on the free factor complex (original proof in [BF10]). Though in this case we need north-south dynamics on a certain space of measured currents ([Mar95], [Uya14]), north-south dynamics on the closure of outer space ([LL03]) and an intersection number between measured currents and $\mathbb{F}$ -trees in the closure of outer space ([KL09]).

Proof outline. We give an overview of how we generalize the key ingredients mentioned above to the relative setting in order to prove Theorem A. Let $\mathbb{F} = A_1 * \dots * A_k * F_N$ be a free factor decomposition of $\mathbb{F}$ and let $\mathcal{A} = \{[A_1], \dots, [A_k]\}$, $k > 0$ be a free factor system, where $[\cdot]$ denotes the conjugacy class. Let $\zeta(\mathcal{A}) = k + N$. Let Φ be a fully irreducible outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{A}$.

In [Gup16] we define *relative currents* and prove a north-south dynamic result on a subspace $\mathcal{MRC}(\mathcal{A})$ of the space of projective relative currents. See Section 2.10 for definitions.

Theorem B ([Gup16]). *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-trivial free factor system of $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\zeta(\mathcal{A}) \geq 3$. Let $\Phi \in \text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ be fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$. Then Φ acts on $\mathcal{MRC}(\mathcal{A})$ with uniform north-south dynamics: there are only two fixed points η_Φ^+ and η_Φ^- and every compact set K in $\mathcal{MRC}(\mathcal{A})$ that does not contain $\eta_\Phi^-(\eta_\Phi^+)$ converges uniformly to $\eta_\Phi^+(\eta_\Phi^-)$ under $\Phi(\Phi^{-1})$ -iterates.*

In [GL07], Guirardel and Levitt define relative outer space for a countable group that splits as a free product. For the group $\mathbb{F}$ and a free factor system $\mathcal{A}$ we denote the relative outer space by $\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$. In Section 3 we prove the following theorem:

Theorem C. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-trivial free factor system of $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\zeta(\mathcal{A}) \geq 3$. Let $\Phi \in \text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ be fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$. Then Φ acts on $\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ with uniform north-south dynamics: there are two fixed points T_Φ^+ and T_Φ^- and any compact set K that does not contain $T_\Phi^-(T_\Phi^+)$ converges uniformly to $T_\Phi^+(T_\Phi^-)$ under $\Phi(\Phi^{-1})$ -iterates.*

Let $\phi' : G' \rightarrow G'$ be a relative train track representative of Φ where $G' \in CV_n$. Let G be the graph obtained by equivariantly collapsing the maximal invariant proper subgraph of G' . Then

the set of vertex stabilizers of G is exactly $\mathcal{A}$. We follow the techniques of [LL03] to prove Theorem C. The key difference in generalizing the $\mathcal{Q}$ map arguments for trees with dense orbits arises from the fact that unlike the absolute case, the dual lamination of the stable tree in the relative setting contains lines which may not be leaves of the repelling lamination. These lines are *diagonal leaves* that come from concatenating certain rays which we call *eigenrays* based at a vertex with non-trivial stabilizer in G (see Section 3.4 for definition). Moreover, unlike the case of a fully irreducible outer automorphism the Whitehead graph of the attracting lamination (see Definition 3.5) of a relative fully irreducible outer automorphism may not be connected at a vertex of G' . We define a transverse covering for the universal cover of G to understand these differences from the absolute case. We also define a relative Whitehead graph (Section 3.2) and show that it is connected to prove convergence for simplicial trees in $\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$.

It turns out that the intersection number between a rational relative current η_g and a relative tree T in relative outer space defined as the translation length of g in T cannot be extended continuously to the product of the space of relative currents and the closure of relative outer space. See Section 4 for an example due to Camille Horbez. In Section 4 we give a definition of an intersection form based on the zero pairing criterion in [KL10] which is sufficient for our purposes.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we define relative free factor complex and relative outer space. We also recall some basics about train track maps. In Section 3 we prove Theorem C. We define a relative Whitehead graph to prove convergence for simplicial trees. We also define a transverse covering and discuss diagonal leaves to carry out the Levitt and Lustig $\mathcal{Q}$ map proof for convergence of trees with dense orbits. We discuss the intersection form in Section 4 and conclude by giving a proof of Theorem A in Section 5.

Acknowledgments. I am grateful to my advisor Mladen Bestvina for his guidance, support and endless patience throughout this project. I am also grateful to Camille Horbez for his help with the intersection form and for fruitful discussions. I would like to express my gratitude to Arnaud Hilion for explaining his unpublished work [CHL06] (see Proposition 4.13 and Lemma 4.14). I would also like to thank Mark Feighn for helpful comments on an earlier draft and Derrick Wigglesworth for many helpful conversations. I gratefully acknowledge the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley, California, where the final stage of the present work was completed during Fall 2016.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Outer space. Culler Vogtmann's outer space (*unprojectivized outer space*), CV_n (cv_n), is defined in [CV86] as the space of $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant homothety (*isometry*) classes of minimal, free and simplicial action of $\mathbb{F}$ by isometries on metric simplicial trees with no vertices of valence two.

An $\mathbb{F}$ -tree is an $\mathbb{R}$ -tree with an isometric action of $\mathbb{F}$. An $\mathbb{F}$ -tree is called *very small* if the action is minimal, arc stabilizers are either trivial or maximal cyclic and tripod stabilizers are trivial. Outer space can be embedded into $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{F}}$ via translation lengths of elements of $\mathbb{F}$ in a tree in cv_n [CM87]. The closure of CV_n under the embedding into $\mathbb{PR}^{\mathbb{F}}$ was identified in [BF94] and [CL95] with the space of all very small $\mathbb{F}$ -trees. We denote by $\overline{CV}_n$ the closure of outer space and by ∂CV_n its boundary.

2.2. Marked graphs and topological representatives. We recall some basic definitions from [BH92]. Identify $\mathbb{F}$ with $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}, *)$ where $\mathcal{R}$ is a rose with n petals and n is the rank of $\mathbb{F}$. A *marked graph* G is a graph of rank n , all of whose vertices have valence at least two, equipped with a homotopy equivalence $m : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow G$ called a marking. The marking determines an identification of $\mathbb{F}$ with $\pi_1(G, m(*))$.

A homotopy equivalence $\phi : G \rightarrow G$ induces an outer automorphism of $\pi_1(G)$ and hence an element Φ of $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F})$. If ϕ sends vertices to vertices and the restriction of ϕ to edges is an immersion then we say that ϕ is a *topological representative* of Φ .

A *filtration* for a topological representative $\phi : G \rightarrow G$ is an increasing sequence of (not necessarily connected) ϕ -invariant subgraphs $\emptyset = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_K = G$. The closure of $G_r \setminus G_{r-1}$, denoted H_r , is a subgraph called the r^{th} -*stratum*. Let γ be a reduced path in G . Then $\phi(\gamma)$ is the image of γ under the map ϕ . We will denote the tightened image of $\phi(\gamma)$ by $[\phi(\gamma)]$.

2.3. Relative train track map. We recall some more definitions from [BH92]. A *turn* in a marked graph G is a pair of oriented edges of G originating at a common vertex. A turn is non-degenerate if the edges are distinct, it is degenerate otherwise.

We associate a matrix called *transition matrix*, denoted M_r , to each stratum H_r . The ij^{th} entry of M_r is the number of occurrences of the i^{th} edge of H_r in either direction in the image of the j^{th} edge under ϕ . A non-negative matrix M is called *irreducible* if for every i, j there exists $k(i, j) > 0$ such that the ij^{th} entry of M^k is positive. A matrix is called *primitive* or *aperiodic* if there exists $k > 0$ such that M^k is positive. A stratum is called *zero stratum* if the transition matrix is the zero matrix. If M_r is irreducible then its Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue λ_r is greater than equal to 1. We say a stratum with an irreducible transition matrix is *exponentially growing (EG)* if $\lambda_r > 1$, it is called *non-exponentially growing (NEG)* otherwise.

A topological representative $\phi : G \rightarrow G$ of a free group outer automorphism Φ is a *relative train track map* with respect to a filtration $\emptyset = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_K = G$ if G has no valence one vertices, if each non-zero stratum has an irreducible matrix and if each exponentially growing stratum satisfies the following conditions:

- If E is an edge in H_r then the first and the last edges in $[\phi(E)]$ are also in H_r .
- If $\gamma \in G_{r-1}$ is a non-trivial path with endpoints in $H_r \cap G_{r-1}$ then $[\phi(\gamma)]$ is a non-trivial path with endpoints in $H_r \cap G_{r-1}$.
- For each r -legal path $\beta \subset H_r$, $[\phi(\beta)]$ is r -legal.

2.4. Laminations. In [BFH00], Bestvina, Feighn and Handel defined a dynamic invariant called the attracting lamination associated to an EG stratum of a relative train track map $\phi : G \rightarrow G$. The elements of the lamination are called *leaves*.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the space of lines defined as the quotient of $\partial^2 \mathbb{F} := (\partial \mathbb{F} \times \partial \mathbb{F} - \Delta) / \mathbb{Z}_2$ under the action of $\mathbb{F}$, where Δ denotes the diagonal. We say $\beta' \in \mathcal{B}$ is weakly attracted to $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$ under the action of Φ if $[\Phi^k(\beta')]$ converges to β . A subset $U \subset \mathcal{B}$ is an *attracting neighborhood* of β for the action of Φ if $[\Phi(U)]$ is a subset of U and if $\{[\Phi^k(U)] : k \geq 0\}$ is a neighborhood basis for β in $\mathcal{B}$. A bi-infinite path σ in a marked graph is *birecurrent* if every finite subpath of σ occurs infinitely often as an unoriented subpath of each end of σ . An element of $\mathcal{B}$ is birecurrent if some realization in a marked graph is birecurrent.

A closed subset Λ^+ of $\mathcal{B}$ is called an *attracting lamination* for a free group outer automorphism Φ if it is the closure of a line β that is birecurrent, has an attracting neighborhood for the action of some iterate of Φ and is not carried by a Φ -periodic free factor of rank one. The line β is said to be a *generic leaf* of Λ^+ . In this paper, we will look at the lift of the attracting lamination to $\partial^2 \mathbb{F}$ and denote it also by Λ^+ .

Lemma 2.1 ([BFH00, Lemma 3.1.9]). *Suppose that $\phi : G \rightarrow G$ is a relative train track map with respect to a filtration $\emptyset = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_K = G$ representing Φ and H_r is an aperiodic EG stratum. Then there is an attracting lamination Λ_r^+ with generic leaf β so that H_r is the highest stratum crossed by a realization of β in G .*

In [CHL08a], Coulbois, Hilion and Lustig define an *algebraic lamination* which is a non-empty, closed and $\mathbb{F}$ -invariant subset of $\partial^2 \mathbb{F}$. Associated to $T \in \partial CV_n$ is a *dual algebraic lamination* $L(T)$,

which is defined as follows in [CHL08b]: let

$$L_\epsilon(T) := \overline{\{(g^{-\infty}, g^\infty) \mid l_T(g) < \epsilon, g \in \mathbb{F}\}},$$

so $L_\epsilon(T)$ is an algebraic lamination; and set $L(T) := \bigcap_{\epsilon > 0} L_\epsilon(T)$.

If Λ_Ψ^+ is the attracting lamination and T_Ψ^- is the unstable tree associated to Ψ , a fully irreducible outer automorphism, then $L(T_\Psi^-)$ is the diagonal closure of Λ_Ψ^+ : if $(X, X') \in \partial^2 \mathbb{F}$ and $(X, X'') \in \partial^2 \mathbb{F}$ are in Λ_Ψ^+ which is a subset of $L(T_\Psi^-)$, and $X' \neq X''$ then (X', X'') is also in $L(T_\Psi^-)$.

We will give another definition due to [CHL08b] of a lamination dual to a tree in $\overline{CV}_n$ in Section 3.4.

2.5. Free factor system. A free factor system of $\mathbb{F}$ is a finite collection of conjugacy classes of proper free factors of $\mathbb{F}$ of the form $\mathcal{A} = \{[A_1], \dots, [A_k]\}$, where $k \geq 0$ and $[\cdot]$ denotes the conjugacy class of a subgroup, such that there exists a free factorization $\mathbb{F} = A_1 * \dots * A_k * F_N$. We refer to the free factor F_N as the *cofactor* of $\mathcal{A}$ keeping in mind that it is not unique, even up to conjugacy. There is a partial ordering $\sqsubset$ on the set of free factor systems given as follows: $\mathcal{A} \sqsubset \mathcal{A}'$ if for every $[A_i] \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $[A'_j] \in \mathcal{A}'$ such that $A_i \subset A'_j$ up to conjugation. The free factor systems $\emptyset$ and $\{\mathbb{F}\}$ are called *trivial free factor systems*. We define $\text{rank}(\mathcal{A})$ to be the sum of the ranks of the free factors in $\mathcal{A}$. Let $\zeta(\mathcal{A}) = k + N$.

The main geometric example of a free factor system is as follows: suppose G is a marked graph and K is a subgraph whose non-contractible connected components are denoted $C_1, \dots, C_k$. Let $[A_i]$ be the conjugacy class of a free factor of $\mathbb{F}$ determined by $\pi_1(C_i)$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \{[A_1], \dots, [A_k]\}$ is a free factor system. We say $\mathcal{A}$ is *realized by* K and we denote it by $\mathcal{F}(K)$.

2.6. Relative free factor complex. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-trivial free factor system of $\mathbb{F}$. In [HM14] the complex of free factor systems of $\mathbb{F}$ relative to $\mathcal{A}$, denoted $\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}; \mathcal{A})$, is defined to be the geometric realization of the partial ordering $\sqsubset$ restricted to the set of non-trivial free factor systems $\mathcal{D}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \sqsubset \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D} \neq \mathcal{A}$. The *exceptional* free factor systems are certain ones for which $\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ is either empty or zero-dimensional. They can be enumerated as follows:

- $\mathcal{A} = \{[A_1], [A_2]\}$ with $\mathbb{F} = A_1 * A_2$. In this case $\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ is empty.
- $\mathcal{A} = \{[A]\}$ with $\mathbb{F} = A * \mathbb{Z}$. In this case $\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ is 0-dimensional.
- $\mathcal{A} = \{[A_1], [A_2], [A_3]\}$ with $\mathbb{F} = A_1 * A_2 * A_3$. In this case $\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ is also 0-dimensional.

Theorem 2.2 ([HM14]). *For any non-exceptional free factor system $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathbb{F}$, the complex $\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ is positive dimensional, connected and hyperbolic.*

2.7. Fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$. An outer automorphism $\Phi \in \text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ is called *irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$* if there is no Φ -invariant non-trivial free factor system that properly contains $\mathcal{A}$. If every power of Φ is irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$ then we say that Φ is *fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$* (or relatively fully irreducible).

Let $\Phi \in \text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$. Then by [BFH00, Lemma 2.6.7] there exists a relative train track map for Φ denoted $\phi : G \rightarrow G$ and filtration $\emptyset = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_r = G$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}(G_s)$ for some filtration element G_s . If Φ is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$ then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}(G_{r-1})$ and the top stratum H_r is an EG stratum with Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue $\lambda_\Phi > 1$.

For Φ , a fully irreducible outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{A}$, let Λ_Φ^+ be the attracting lamination associated to the top stratum H_r . We will denote by $\Lambda_\Phi^+(G)$ the realization of Λ_Φ^+ in the graph G .

2.8. Relative outer space. In [GL07], Guirardel and Levitt define relative outer space for a countable group that splits as a free product

$$G = G_1 * \dots * G_k * F_N$$

where $N + k \geq 2$. In [Hor14], Horbez shows that the closure of relative outer space is compact and characterizes the trees in the closure of relative outer space.

In our setting $G = \mathbb{F}$ and it splits as $\mathbb{F} = A_1 * \dots * A_k * F_N$ for $k \geq 0$. We let $\mathcal{A} = \{[A_1], \dots, [A_k]\}$ be the associated free factor system of $\mathbb{F}$. The group of automorphisms associated to such a decomposition is $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ consisting of those outer automorphisms that preserve the conjugacy class of each A_i .

Subgroups of $\mathbb{F}$ that are conjugate into a free factor in $\mathcal{A}$ are called *peripheral* subgroups. An $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -tree is an $\mathbb{R}$ -tree with an isometric action of $\mathbb{F}$, in which every peripheral subgroup fixes a unique point. A *Grushko* $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -tree is a minimal, simplicial metric $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -tree whose set of point stabilizers is exactly the free factor system $\mathcal{A}$ and edge stabilizers are trivial. Two $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -trees are equivalent if there exists an $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant isometry between them. An $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -tree T is *small* if arc stabilizers in T are either trivial, or cyclic and non-peripheral. A small $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -tree T is *very small* if in addition the non-trivial arc stabilizers in T are closed under taking roots and tripod stabilizers are trivial.

The *unprojectivized relative outer space* $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ is the space of all equivalence classes of Grushko $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -trees. *Relative outer space*, denoted $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$, is the space of homothety classes of trees in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$.

- Example 2.3.** (a) Let $\mathbb{F} = A_1 * A_2$. In this case relative outer space is just a point represented by a one edge splitting with vertex stabilizers A_1 and A_2 and trivial edge stabilizer.
 (b) Let $\mathbb{F} = A_1 * \mathbb{Z}$. In this case relative outer space is one dimensional. A schematic is shown in Figure 1(i). The central vertex v in (i) corresponds to the graph shown in (ii) and the end points of the one simplices in (i) correspond to graphs shown in (iii).




FIGURE 1. Relative Outer Space

- (c) Let $\mathbb{F} = A_1 * A_2 * A_3$. In this case relative outer space is unbounded with respect to the simplicial metric.

The graph of groups decomposition of $\mathbb{F}$ represented in Figure 2 is called a *relative rose*.




FIGURE 2. Relative Rose

2.9. Boundary of $\mathbb{F}$. Given $\mathbb{F}$ and a fixed basis $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathbb{F}$, let $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{B})$ be the Cayley graph of $\mathbb{F}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{B}$. The space of ends of the Cayley graph is called the *boundary* of $\mathbb{F}$, denoted by $\partial\mathbb{F}$. Let Δ denote the diagonal in $\partial\mathbb{F} \times \partial\mathbb{F}$. Let $\partial^2\mathbb{F} := (\partial\mathbb{F} \times \partial\mathbb{F} - \Delta)/\mathbb{Z}_2$ be the *space of flip-invariant bi-infinite lines* in $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{B})$. This space gets product topology from $\partial\mathbb{F}$ and is

Hausdorff. Finite paths γ in $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{B})$ determine two-sided cylinder sets, denoted $C(\gamma)$, which form a basis for the topology of $\partial^2 \mathbb{F}$. Compact open sets are given by finite disjoint union of cylinder sets.

Let $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$ be a basis of $\mathbb{F}$ such that a basis of $\mathcal{A}$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Specifically,

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{a_{11}, \dots, a_{11_s}, \dots, a_{i1}, \dots, a_{ii_s}, \dots, a_{k1}, \dots, a_{kk_s}, b_1, \dots, b_p\}$$

where $a_{ij} \in A_i$ and $b_i \notin A$ for any $[A] \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\text{rank}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{rank}(\mathbb{F})$ then $p = 0$. We call such a basis a *relative basis* of $\mathbb{F}$.

Given a free factor A we say a one-sided infinite geodesic starting at the base point in $\text{Cay}(\mathbb{F}, \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{A}})$ is in ∂A if eventually it crosses only edges labeled by words in A . Note that ∂A is an $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant set. We define $\partial \mathcal{A} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k \partial A_i$. We define $\partial^2 \mathcal{A}$ to be the set of bi-infinite geodesics in $\partial^2 \mathbb{F}$ which are lifts of conjugacy classes in $\mathcal{A}$. We then define $\partial^2 \mathcal{A} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^k \partial^2 A_i$.

Let $\mathbb{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ be the set of all conjugacy classes of elements in $\mathbb{F}$ that are not contained in a free factor in $\mathcal{A}$. Note that an element of $\mathbb{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ can be contained in the free product of distinct free factors of $\mathcal{A}$.

2.10. Relative Currents. In [Gup16] we relativized the notion of measured currents as defined in [Mar95]. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-trivial free factor system such that $\zeta(\mathcal{A}) \geq 3$. We define $\mathbf{Y} := \partial^2 \mathbb{F} \setminus \partial^2 \mathcal{A}$ with the subspace topology from $\partial^2 \mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y})$ be the collection of compact open sets in $\mathbf{Y}$.

A *relative current* is an additive, non-negative, $\mathbb{F}$ -invariant and flip-invariant function on $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y})$. A relative current is uniquely determined by its values on cylinder sets in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y})$ determined by finite paths corresponding to words in $\mathbb{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$.

Example 2.4 (Relative current). Consider a conjugacy class $\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ such that α is not a power of any other conjugacy class in $\mathbb{F}$ and let w be a word in $\mathbb{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Let $C(w)$ be the cylinder set in $\mathbf{Y}$ corresponding to a path determined by w starting at the base point of the Cayley graph. Then $\eta_{\alpha}(w) := \eta_{\alpha}(C(w))$ is the number of occurrences of w in the cyclic words α and $\bar{\alpha}$. Equivalently, we can also count the number of lifts of α that cross the path determined by w starting at the base point in the Cayley graph. We call such currents and their multiples *rational relative currents*. For example, let $\mathbb{F} = \langle a, b \rangle$, $\mathcal{A} = \{[\langle a \rangle]\}$ and let $\alpha = abaab$. Then $\eta_{\alpha}(b) = 2$, $\eta_{\alpha}(ba) = 2$, $\eta_{\alpha}(abab) = 1$.

Let $\mathcal{RC}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the space of relative currents. A subbasis for the topology of $\mathcal{RC}(\mathcal{A})$ is given by the sets $\{\eta \in \mathcal{RC}(\mathcal{A}) : |\eta(C) - \eta_0(C)| \leq \epsilon\}$ where $\eta_0 \in \mathcal{RC}(\mathcal{A})$, $C \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{Y})$ and $\epsilon > 0$. We denote by $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{RC}(\mathcal{A})$ the space of projectivized relative currents and show that it is compact in [Gup16]. Let $\mathcal{MRC}(\mathcal{A})$ be the closure in $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{RC}(\mathcal{A})$ of the relative currents corresponding to conjugacy classes in $\mathbb{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ which are contained in a non-trivial free factor system containing $\mathcal{A}$. The main result about relative currents is the following:

Theorem B ([Gup16]). *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-trivial free factor system of $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\zeta(\mathcal{A}) \geq 3$. Let $\Phi \in \text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ be fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$. Then Φ acts with uniform north-south dynamics on $\mathcal{MRC}(\mathcal{A})$.*

3. NORTH-SOUTH DYNAMICS ON THE CLOSURE OF RELATIVE OUTER SPACE

Our method to prove Theorem C is a generalization of the proof by Levitt and Lustig [LL03] to show that a fully irreducible automorphism acts with uniform north-south dynamics on the closure of outer space. Let Φ be a fully irreducible automorphism relative to $\mathcal{A}$.

Notation 3.1. Let $\phi'_0 : G' \rightarrow G'$ be a relative train track representative of Φ , where G' is a marked metric graph, with filtration $\emptyset = G_0 \subset G_1 \subset \dots \subset G_r = G'$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{F}(G_{r-1})$ and the top stratum H_r is an EG stratum with Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue $\lambda_{\Phi} > 1$. We denote by Λ_{Φ}^+ the attracting lamination associated to H_r and by $\Lambda_{\Phi}^+(G')$ its realization in G' . Let $T_{G'}$ be the universal cover of G' and let $\phi' : T_{G'} \rightarrow T_{G'}$ be a lift of the map $\phi'_0 : G' \rightarrow G'$ which satisfies $\Phi(g) \circ \phi' = \phi' \circ g$ for $g \in \mathbb{F}$.

Definition 3.2 ($\mathcal{A}$ -train track map). Let T_G be the tree in $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ obtained by equivariantly collapsing the maximal ϕ' -invariant proper forest of $T_{G'}$. We denote the collapse map by $\pi : T_{G'} \rightarrow T_G$. See Figure 3. The map $\phi' : T_{G'} \rightarrow T_{G'}$ descends to a map $\phi : T_G \rightarrow T_G$ representing Φ . Let $G = T_G/\mathbb{F}$ and $\phi_0 : G \rightarrow G$ be the corresponding map. We say ϕ_0 is an $\mathcal{A}$ -train track representative of Φ .




FIGURE 3.

Lemma 3.3 ([FM13, Definition 8.1, Lemma 8.3]). *Let $\Psi \in \text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$. Then Ψ is irreducible iff for any $T \in \mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ and any map $\psi : T \rightarrow T$ representing Ψ , if $W \subset T$ is a proper ψ -invariant subgraph then $W/\mathbb{F}$ is a union of trees each of which contains at most one non-trivial vertex stabilizer.*

By Definition 3.2, G has no proper ϕ -invariant subgraphs and thus by the above lemma all the edges of G are exponentially growing and T_G is a Grushko $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -tree.

3.1. Stable and unstable trees. $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ acts on $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ via

$$l_{T, \Psi}(\alpha) = l_T(\Psi(\alpha))$$

for $\Psi \in \text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ and for every conjugacy class $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, where $l_T(\alpha)$ is the translation length of α in T . A stable tree T_ϕ^+ of Φ is defined as follows:

$$T_\phi^+ = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_G \phi^p}{\lambda_\Phi^p}.$$

In other words,

$$l_{T_\phi^+}(\alpha) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l_{T_G}(\phi^p \alpha)}{\lambda_\Phi^p}.$$

The stable tree is well defined projectively and we denote the projective class by T_Φ^+ . The unstable tree, denoted T_Φ^- , of Φ is defined to be the stable tree of Φ^{-1} . The fact that $T_\Phi^\pm$ do not depend on the choice of the train track map ϕ follows from the same arguments as in [BFH97, Lemma 3.4].

Proposition 3.4. *Let $T \in \overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$. Suppose there exists a tree $T_0 \in \mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$, an equivariant map $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$, and a bi-infinite geodesic $\gamma_0 \subset T_0$ representing a generic leaf γ of Λ_Φ^+ such that $h(\gamma_0)$ has diameter greater than $2BCC(h)$. Then*

- (a) $h(\gamma_0)$ has infinite diameter in T .
- (b) there exists a neighborhood V of T such that $\Phi^p(V)$ converges to T_Φ^+ uniformly as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof of Proposition 3.4 is essentially the same as in the absolute case in [BFH97, Lemma 3.4] and [LL03, Proposition 6.1].

Our goal now is to prove that every tree $T \in \overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.4 if we allow γ to be either in Λ_Φ^+ or Λ_Φ^- . We prepare ourselves for this task by proving some

results about Whitehead graphs, transverse coverings and $\mathcal{Q}$ map in the next three sections which will then be put together in Section 3.5 to complete the proof of Theorem C.

3.2. Relative Whitehead graph. The main lemma in this section is Lemma 3.10 which is used in the proof of Lemma 3.26. We first recollect some observations in the absolute case about the Whitehead graph for a fully irreducible automorphism. We then define a relative Whitehead graph and make similar observations for a fully irreducible automorphism relative to $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $\psi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ be a train track representative of a fully irreducible automorphism where $\Gamma \in CV_n$ and let Λ_ψ^+ be the attracting lamination.

Definition 3.5 (Whitehead graph [BFH97]). At a vertex v of Γ the *Whitehead graph*, denoted $\text{Wh}(v)$, is defined as follows: the vertices are given by the outgoing edges incident at v and two vertices are joined by an edge if the corresponding outgoing edges in Γ form a Λ_ψ^+ -legal turn, that is, there is a ψ -iterate of an edge of Γ that crosses that turn.

If $\psi(v) = w$ where v, w are vertices in Γ then ψ induces a simplicial map from $\text{Wh}(v)$ to $\text{Wh}(w)$.

Definition 3.6 ([BFH97]). A finitely generated subgroup H of $\mathbb{F}$ carries a lamination Λ if there exists a marked $\mathbb{R}$ -graph Γ_0 , an isometric immersion $i : \Gamma_H \rightarrow \Gamma_0$ with $\pi_1(i(\Gamma_H)) = H$ and an isometric immersion $l : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Gamma_H$ such that $i \circ l$ is a generic leaf of $\Lambda(\Gamma_0)$.

Proposition 3.7 ([BFH97, Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.4]). (a) At every vertex of Γ the Whitehead graph is connected.

(b) Suppose $\pi : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma$ is a finite sheeted covering space and $\psi' : \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma'$ is a lift of ψ . Then the transition matrix of ψ' is primitive and the Whitehead graph of ψ' at a vertex v of Γ' is the lift of the Whitehead graph of ψ at $\pi(v)$ and in particular is connected.

(c) If a finitely generated subgroup H of $\mathbb{F}$ carries Λ_ψ^+ then H is a finite index subgroup of $\mathbb{F}$.

We now look at an example of the Whitehead graph of a fully irreducible automorphism relative to $\mathcal{A}$ to see why we need a notion of a relative Whitehead graph.

Example 3.8. Let $F_4 = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$, $\mathcal{A} = \{[\langle a, b \rangle]\}$ and Φ a relative automorphism be given by

$$\Phi(a) = ab, \Phi(b) = b, \Phi(c) = cad, \Phi(d) = dcad.$$

Let $\phi'_0 : G' \rightarrow G'$ be a relative train track representative of Φ where G' is the rose on four petals labeled a, b, c, d . The Whitehead graph at the vertex of the rose is shown in Figure 4:




FIGURE 4. Whitehead Graph for Example 3.8

We see that the Whitehead graph is disconnected with two gates $\{c, \bar{c}, a, \bar{a}\}$ and $\{\bar{a}, b, \bar{b}, d\}$. If we identify all the directions coming from the rose corresponding to $\langle a, b \rangle$ then we do get a connected graph.

We will now define a relative Whitehead graph. Let $\phi_0 : G \rightarrow G$ be the $\mathcal{A}$ -train track representative of a relative fully irreducible automorphism Φ from Definition 3.2, with the attracting lamination Λ_Φ^+ .

Definition 3.9 (Relative Whitehead graph). Let v be a vertex of G of valence greater than one.

- If v has trivial stabilizer then the relative Whitehead graph is defined as in Definition 3.5.
- If v has a non-trivial stabilizer then we do the following: attach a rose representing the vertex stabilizer at v , construct the Whitehead graph as in Definition 3.5 and then identify all the directions coming from the attached rose. Thus the vertices of the relative Whitehead graph are the outgoing edges incident to v and a vertex, denoted v_A , representing the non-trivial vertex stabilizer A .

In Example 3.8, after collapsing the maximal invariant subgraph of G' we get a graph G which is a rose with two petals and vertex stabilizer $A = \langle a, b \rangle$. The relative Whitehead graph at the vertex of G has vertices corresponding to $c, \bar{c}, d, \bar{d}, v_A$ and is shown in Figure 5.




FIGURE 5. Relative Whitehead graph for Example 3.8.

Before we state the next lemma let's look at two examples of covering spaces for the relative rose, one by a finite index subgroup and another by an infinite index subgroup. Let $F_6 = \langle a, b, c, d, e, f \rangle$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{[\langle a, b \rangle], [\langle c, d \rangle]\}$.

- Let $H = \langle a, b, ef \rangle$ be a subgroup of $\mathbb{F}$. The (infinite sheeted) cover of the relative rose corresponding to H is shown in Figure 6:




FIGURE 6. Infinite sheeted cover

- A finite sheeted cover of the relative rose whose fundamental group contains $H = \langle a, b, ef \rangle$ is shown in Figure 7:

Lemma 3.10. Let $\phi_0 : G \rightarrow G$ be the $\mathcal{A}$ -train track representative of a fully irreducible automorphism relative to $\mathcal{A}$.

- The relative Whitehead graph of ϕ_0 is connected at each vertex of G .
- Suppose $p : G'' \rightarrow G$ is a finite sheeted covering space such that for every vertex v of G'' , $p_*(\text{Stab}(v)) = \text{Stab}(p(v))$, and $\phi'' : G'' \rightarrow G''$ is a lift of $\phi_0 : G \rightarrow G$. Then the relative




FIGURE 7. Finite sheeted cover

Whitehead graph of ϕ'' at a vertex v of G'' is the lift of the relative Whitehead graph of ϕ at $p(v)$ and in particular is connected.

- (c) Let H be a finitely generated subgroup of $\mathbb{F}$ such that for every $[A] \in \mathcal{A}$ either $H \cap A$ is trivial or equal to A , up to conjugation. If H carries Λ_{Φ}^+ then H has finite index in $\mathbb{F}$.

Proof. (a) Suppose the relative Whitehead graph at a vertex of G is not connected. For simplicity let's first assume G has only one vertex v with valence greater than one. Then this vertex is fixed under ϕ . We construct a new graph $\overline{G}$ by first deleting the vertex v and adding a new vertex v_i for each component of the relative Whitehead graph. We then connect all the new vertices to a common vertex $\bar{v}$ by edges E_i . Thus $\overline{G}$ is a blow-up of G at v . We now have a homotopy equivalence $\overline{\phi} : \overline{G} \rightarrow \overline{G}$ which is such that no leaf of the lamination crosses the new edges E_i . The fundamental group of the complement of $\cup E_i$ gives a proper Φ -invariant free factor system containing $\mathcal{A}$, which is a contradiction.

If G has more than one vertex of valence greater than one then we do the blow-up construction at all the vertices of valence greater than two and repeat the argument.

- (b) The graph G'' gets a legal turn structure from the lift of G and it gets a legal turn structure from the map ϕ'' . We have to show that the two structures are equal. More precisely, we have to show that a turn in G'' whose image in G is Λ_{Φ}^+ -legal is in fact crossed by a lift of a leaf of Λ_{Φ}^+ to G'' .

- (i) Let a'', b'' be two edges incident at a vertex v'' of G'' where $p(a'') = a$ and $p(b'') = b$ are such that ab is a legal turn at $p(v'') = v$ in G . Since the transition matrix of $\phi_0 : G \rightarrow G$ is primitive, after passing to a power we can assume that $\phi_0(a) = \dots ab \dots$. Thus a has a fixed point x . Since ϕ_0 is a homotopy equivalence, ϕ'' permutes the set $p^{-1}(x)$. After passing to a power we can assume that ϕ'' also has a fixed point on a'' . Thus a'' maps over $a''b''$ under ϕ'' . Since the image under ϕ'' of an edge of G'' crosses the turn formed by a'' and b'' , a leaf of the lamination associated to ϕ'' (which is the lift of Λ_{Φ}^+) crosses that turn.
- (ii) Let v be a vertex of G'' with non-trivial vertex stabilizer. Let a'' be an edge at v'' such that $a = p(a'')$ and it forms a Λ_{Φ}^+ -legal turn with the vertex stabilizer of $p(v'') = v$, that is, after passing to a power $\phi_0(e) = \dots aw \dots$ for some edge e and some path w in a blow-up of the vertex stabilizer of v . After passing to a further power we can assume that $\phi_0(a) = \dots aw \dots$. Thus a has a fixed point. Now by the same argument as in the previous case we get that $\phi''(a'')$ maps over $a''w''$.
- (c) Let Γ_H be the core of the covering space of G corresponding to a subgroup H as in the statement of the lemma. Here Γ_H is a finite graph. Let $i : \Gamma_H \rightarrow G$ be the isometric immersion. If H has infinite index in $\mathbb{F}$ then we can add more vertices and edges to Γ_H to complete it to a finite sheeted covering Γ'_H of G . We can pass to a further finite sheeted cover Γ''_H such that $\phi_0 : G \rightarrow G$ lifts to a map $\phi'' : \Gamma''_H \rightarrow \Gamma''_H$. By the previous part we have that the relative Whitehead graph is connected at every vertex of Γ''_H . Therefore lifts of the leaves of $\Lambda_{\Phi}^+(G)$

cross every edge of Γ_H'' . Under the projection $p : \Gamma_H'' \rightarrow \Gamma_H'$ we see that the edges we added to Γ_H are crossed by leaves of Λ_Φ^+ so H does not carry Λ_Φ^+ . $\square$

3.3. Transverse covering. Let $\phi_0 : G \rightarrow G$ be an $\mathcal{A}$ -train track representative of a relative fully irreducible automorphism Φ . Let $\phi : T_G \rightarrow T_G$ be a lift to the universal cover T_G of G . In this section we define a transverse covering for T_G which will be used in the proof of Lemma 3.23.

We define an equivalence relation on $\Lambda_\Phi^+(T_G)$ as follows: two leaves γ, γ' are equivalent if there is a sequence of leaves $\gamma = \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n = \gamma'$ such that γ_i and γ_{i+1} overlap in a non-trivial edge path in T_G . Let $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda_\Phi^+) = \{Y_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the set of subtrees of T_G such that Y_i is the realization of leaves of $\Lambda_\Phi^+(T_G)$ in an equivalence class.

Definition 3.11 (Closed subtree [Gui04, Definition 2.4]). A subtree Y of a tree T is called closed if the intersection of Y with any segment of T is either empty or a segment of T .

Definition 3.12 (Transverse Covering [Gui04, Definition 4.6]). A transverse covering of an $\mathbb{R}$ -tree T is a family $\mathcal{Y}$ of non-degenerate closed subtrees of T such that every arc in T is covered by finitely many subtrees in $\mathcal{Y}$ and any two distinct subtrees in $\mathcal{Y}$ intersect in at most one point.

Lemma 3.13. *The set $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda_\Phi^+)$ forms a transverse covering of T_G .*

Proof. Since an element Y of $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda_\Phi^+)$ contains a leaf of Λ_Φ^+ , $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda_\Phi^+)$ is a covering of T_G . We now need to check that every arc of T_G is covered by finitely many Y_i . Indeed, if an edge of T_G is covered by multiple Y_i then by the definition of the equivalence relation they are connected. Therefore an edge of T_G is covered by one subtree Y_i and a finite arc is covered by finitely many subtrees in $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda_\Phi^+)$. Also by definition two distinct subtrees Y_i, Y_j intersect in at most one point. $\square$

Example 3.14. Recall the automorphism Φ from Example 3.8 given by $\Phi(a) = ab, \Phi(b) = b, \Phi(c) = cad, \Phi(d) = dcad$. We say two leaves in $\Lambda_\Phi^+(T_{G'})$ are equivalent if they overlap in an edge in the top EG stratum. There are two different equivalence classes of leaves at a vertex in the universal cover $T_{G'}$. See Figure 8.





FIGURE 8. Three different equivalence classes in $T_{G'}$

By collapsing the edges with labels a and b in G' we get a relative rose G with two petals and a non-trivial vertex stabilizer. The covering of $T_{G'}$ in Figure 8 descends to a transverse covering of T_G . See Figure 9.

FIGURE 9. Different equivalence classes in T_G

3.4. $\mathcal{Q}$ map. In [LL03], Levitt and Lustig define a map called the $\mathcal{Q}$ map from the boundary of $\mathbb{F}$ to a tree with dense orbits in $\overline{CV}_n$. This map is the key tool used to prove north-south dynamics for a fully irreducible automorphism on the closure of outer space. We will follow the same techniques to get a relative result. The main proposition in this section is Proposition 3.22.

Let T_0 be a metric simplicial $\mathbb{F}$ -tree. We denote by $v(T_0)$ the volume of the quotient graph $T_0/\mathbb{F}$. Let T be a metric minimal very small $\mathbb{F}$ -tree. We denote by $\overline{T}$ the metric completion of T . Let T be an $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -tree. The boundary of T , denoted ∂T , is defined as the set of infinite rays $\rho : [0, \infty) \rightarrow T$ up to an equivalence. Namely, two rays are equivalent if they intersect along a ray. If T_0 is a Grushko $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -tree then there is a canonical identification between $\partial \mathbb{F} \setminus \partial \mathcal{A}$ (see Section 2.10 for definition) and ∂T_0 . We denote by ρ a ray in T_0 representing the point X in ∂T_0 . Given an equivariant map $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$, let $r = h(\rho)$. We say X is T -bounded if r is bounded in T (this does not depend on the choice of h as shown in [LL03, Proposition 3.1]). If r is unbounded then we get a ray representing a point in ∂T .

Let $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$ be a continuous map between $\mathbb{R}$ -trees. We say h has *bounded cancellation property* if there exists a constant $C \geq 0$ such that the h -image of any segment pq in T_0 is contained in the C neighborhood of the geodesic joining $h(p)$ and $h(q)$ in T . The smallest such C is called the bounded cancellation constant for h , denoted $\text{BCC}(h)$. The following fact about BCC for very small trees is a generalization of Cooper's bounded cancellation lemma [Coo87], and can be found in [BFH97, Lemma 3.1] and [GJLL98].

Lemma 3.15. *Let T be an $\mathbb{R}$ -tree with a minimal very small action of $\mathbb{F}$. Let T_0 be a free simplicial $\mathbb{F}$ -tree, and $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$ an equivariant map. Then h has bounded cancellation, with $\text{BCC}(h) \leq \text{Lip}(h)v(T_0)$, where $\text{Lip}(h)$ is the Lipschitz constant for h .*

Proposition 3.16 (Small BCC). *Let $T \in \overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ be a minimal $\mathbb{F}$ -tree with dense orbits and trivial arc stabilizers. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -tree $T_0 \in \mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$, $v(T_0) < \epsilon$, and an equivariant map $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$ whose restriction to each edge is isometric and $\text{BCC}(h) < \epsilon$.*

The proof of the above proposition when $T \in \overline{CV}_n$ and $T_0 \in CV_n$ in [LL03, Proposition 2.2] starts with an equivariant map $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$ which is isometric on edges. Then given an edge e of T_0 , one replaces h by $h' : T'_0 \rightarrow T$ with $v(T'_0) \leq v(T_0) - 1/6|e|$. If $T \in \overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ then we can start with an equivariant map $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$ isometric on edges where $T_0 \in \mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ and do the same argument.

Proposition 3.17 ($\mathcal{Q}$ map). *Let $T \in \overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ be a minimal $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -tree with dense orbits and trivial arc stabilizers. Suppose $X \in \partial \mathbb{F} \setminus \partial \mathcal{A}$ is T -bounded. Then there is a unique point $\mathcal{Q}(X) \in \overline{T}$ such that for any equivariant map $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$ and any ray ρ representing X in $T_0 \in \mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$, the point $\mathcal{Q}(X)$ belongs to the closure of $h(\rho)$ in $\overline{T}$. Also, every $h(\rho)$ is contained in a $2\text{BCC}(h)$ -ball centered at $\mathcal{Q}(X)$, except for an initial part.*

In [LL03, Proposition 3.1] the above lemma is proved for any tree with dense orbits in the closure of outer space hence it applies to our setting as well. Since the free factors in $\mathcal{A}$ are elliptic in T we can take the tree T_0 in the original proof to be such that $T_0 \in \mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$. Also by [LL03, Remark 3.7], if $\mathcal{Q}(X) = \mathcal{Q}(X')$ for a bi-infinite geodesic γ with end points X, X' then $h(\gamma)$ lies in a $2BCC(h)$ -neighborhood of $\mathcal{Q}(X)$.

We recall another definition from [CHL08b] of a *dual lamination* associated to a tree T with dense orbits in ∂CV_n :

$$L_{\mathcal{Q}}(T) = \{\{X, X'\} \in \partial^2 \mathbb{F} \mid \mathcal{Q}(X) = \mathcal{Q}(X')\}.$$

It is shown in [CHL08b] that $L_{\mathcal{Q}}(T)$ is the same as $L(T)$ (see Section 2.4 for definition). We define *support* $s(L) \subset \partial \mathbb{F} \setminus \partial \mathcal{A}$ of an algebraic lamination L as the set of all $X \in \partial \mathbb{F}$ such that L contains some pair $\{X, X'\}$. The laminations $L_{\mathcal{Q}}(T_{\Phi}^+)$ and $L_{\mathcal{Q}}(T_{\Phi}^-)$ are $\mathbb{F}$ -invariant and Φ -invariant.

Definition 3.18 (Eigenray). Let $f_0 : \tau \rightarrow \tau$ be a relative train track map or an $\mathcal{A}$ -train track map. Let $f : T_{\tau} \rightarrow T_{\tau}$ be a lift of f_0 to the universal cover T_{τ} of τ . Let v_0 be a fixed vertex in τ with a fixed direction e , where e is an edge in an EG stratum. Let v be a lift of v_0 to T_{τ} . Then a lift based at v of the ray $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_0^n(e)$ is called an *eigenray of f based at v* , denoted by $X_v \in \partial \mathbb{F}$.

Recall from Definition 3.2 the $\mathcal{A}$ -train track map $\phi_0 : G \rightarrow G$ representing Φ and a lift to the universal cover $\phi : T_G \rightarrow T_G$. Let EA_{Φ}^+ be the set of all eigenrays of ϕ .

Remark 3.19. In the absolute case of a fully irreducible automorphism any eigenray is in fact a half-leaf of Λ_{Φ}^+ , that is, it is contained in a generic leaf of Λ_{Φ}^+ . Thus it suffices to consider points in $s(\Lambda_{\Phi}^+)$ for the proof of [LL03, Lemma 5.2]. In the relative case, an eigenray based at a vertex with trivial stabilizer is a half-leaf of Λ_{Φ}^+ but an eigenray based at a vertex with non-trivial vertex stabilizer might not be a half-leaf of Λ_{Φ}^+ . It will be a half-leaf of a diagonal leaf of $L_{\mathcal{Q}}(T_{\Phi}^-)$ as explained below.

Lemma 3.20. $s(L_{\mathcal{Q}}(T_{\Phi}^-))$ contains $s(\Lambda_{\Phi}^+)$ and EA_{Φ}^+ .

Proof. The statement that $s(L_{\mathcal{Q}}(T_{\Phi}^-))$ contains $s(\Lambda_{\Phi}^+)$ follows from Lemma 4.4 where we show that Λ_{Φ}^+ is contained in $L_{\mathcal{Q}}(T_{\Phi}^-)$. Let $R_v : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow T_G$ be a ray representing an eigenray X_v of ϕ based at a vertex v of T_G with non-trivial stabilizer. Let $R_v(\infty) = X_v \in \partial T_G$, which is identified with a point in $\partial \mathbb{F}$, also denoted by X_v . Let $\nu : T_G \rightarrow T_{\Phi}^-$ be an $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant map.

- We first show that $\nu(R_v)$ is T_{Φ}^- -bounded. Suppose not. Then for every $C > 0$ and every $t_0 > 0$ there exist $t_2 > t_1 > t_0$ such that $d_{T_{\Phi}^-}(\nu(R_v(t_2)), \nu(R_v(t_1))) > C$. Now choose $C > 2BCC(\nu)$. Since R_v is an eigenray, a generic leaf l^+ of Λ_{Φ}^+ crosses the segment $\sigma_v = [R_v(t_2), R_v(t_1)]$ of R_v . By [LL03, Remark 3.7], the ν image of $l^+ = \{X, X'\}$ is in a $2BCC(\nu)$ neighborhood of $\mathcal{Q}(X) = \mathcal{Q}(X')$. This implies that the diameter of σ_v under ν is less than $2BCC(\nu)$, which is a contradiction.
- Next we want to prove that $\mathcal{Q}(X_v) = \tilde{v}$ where $\tilde{v}$ is the point in T_{Φ}^- whose stabilizer contains the stabilizer of v . Given $\epsilon > 0$, let $h : T_0 \rightarrow T_{\Phi}^-$ be an $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant map with $BCC(h) < \epsilon$ as given by Proposition 3.16. Let $\mu : T_G \rightarrow T_0$ be an $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant map and let $\nu = h \circ \mu$. Let $\bar{R}_v = \mu(R_v)$. Then by Proposition 3.17, $h(\bar{R}_v)$ is contained in a $2BCC(h)$ neighborhood of $\mathcal{Q}(X_v)$ except an initial segment. Suppose $\mathcal{Q}(X_v) \neq \tilde{v}$. There exists a $g \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ for which the following is true: let σ_g be the subsegment of R_v joining v and gv such that the length of $\bar{\sigma}_g := \mu(\sigma_g)$ is non-zero and $h(\bar{\sigma}_g)$ is not contained in a $2BCC(h)$ -neighborhood of $\mathcal{Q}(X_v)$. Since R_v is an eigenray it contains translates of the segment σ_g . There exists some translate σ'_g of σ_g joining points u, gu on R_v such that $h(\bar{\sigma}'_g)$, where $\bar{\sigma}'_g := \mu(\sigma'_g)$, is in a $2BCC(h)$ -neighborhood of $\mathcal{Q}(X_v)$ because $h(\bar{R}_v)$ is T_{Φ}^- -bounded. But g acts by isometries on T_{Φ}^- so the diameters of $h(\bar{\sigma}_g)$ and $h(\bar{\sigma}'_g)$ cannot be different. Thus $\tilde{v}$ is in a $2BCC(h)$ -neighborhood of $\mathcal{Q}(X_v)$. Since ϵ , which bounds $BCC(h)$, was arbitrary we have that $\mathcal{Q}(X_v) = \tilde{v}$.

- Now we show that for every vertex v of T_G with non-trivial stabilizer there are at least two eigenrays X_v, X'_v based at v . This will imply that $\{X_v, X'_v\} \in L_Q(T_\Phi^-)$ and hence $E\Lambda_\Phi^+ \subset s(L_Q(T_\Phi^-))$. If the image of v in $G = T_G/\mathbb{F}$ has at least two gates then each gate will have a fixed direction which gives us different eigenrays based at v . If there is only one gate at v then in T_G the orbit of a given ray R_v under the stabilizer of v gives distinct eigenrays based at v . $\square$

Remark 3.21. From the above proposition we get that $L_Q(T_\Phi^-)$ has two types of leaves:

- (a) leaves of the lamination Λ_Φ^+ , which we call Λ_Φ^+ -leaves, and,
- (b) leaves obtained by concatenating two eigenrays, which are called *diagonal* leaves.

The next proposition, which is the relativization of [LL03, Proposition 5.1], is the main technical proposition of this section.

Proposition 3.22. *If $T \in \overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ is a minimal $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -tree with dense orbits and trivial arc stabilizers then at least one of the following is true:*

- (a) *there exists a generic leaf $\{X, X'\}$ of Λ_Φ^+ or Λ_Φ^- such that $\mathcal{Q}(X) \neq \mathcal{Q}(X')$,*
- (b) *there exists a diagonal leaf $\{X, X'\}$ of $L_Q(T_\Phi^-)$ or $L_Q(T_\Phi^+)$ such that $\mathcal{Q}(X) \neq \mathcal{Q}(X')$.*

Since diagonal leaves are obtained by concatenating eigenrays, (b) implies (a) in the above proposition. Morally, the above proposition says that if $T \in \overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ is a minimal $(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ -tree with dense orbits such that $L_Q(T)$ contains both $L_Q(T_\Phi^+)$ and $L_Q(T_\Phi^-)$ then T is in fact a trivial tree. The proof of the proposition depends on Lemma 3.24 and Lemma 3.25. We need the following lemma for the proof of Lemma 3.24.

Lemma 3.23. *If e, e' are edges with a common initial vertex v in T_G , then there exists a sequence $e_0 = e, e_1, \dots, e_k = e'$ of distinct edges starting at v such that every edge path $\overline{e_i}e_{i+1}$ is crossed by a leaf of $L_Q(T_\Phi^-)$.*

Proof. If the vertex stabilizer of v is trivial then by Lemma 3.10 the Whitehead graph of Λ_Φ^+ is connected at the vertex v . Hence the lemma follows by using the Λ_Φ^+ -leaves of $L_Q(T_\Phi^-)$. Now let's assume that the vertex stabilizer of v is non-trivial. Consider the transverse covering $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda_\Phi^+)$ of T_G from Section 3.3. Since an element Y of $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda_\Phi^+)$ contains a generic leaf of Λ_Φ^+ , Y crosses $\mathbb{F}$ -orbit of every edge in T_G . Let Y_e and $Y_{e'}$ be the elements of $\mathcal{Y}(\Lambda_\Phi^+)$ that contain e and e' respectively. Let E, E' be the set of edges with initial vertex v which are in Y_e and $Y_{e'}$ respectively.

If Y_e is equal to $Y_{e'}$ then the lemma follows by using Λ_Φ^+ -leaves in $L_Q(T_\Phi^-)$. Suppose $Y_e \neq Y_{e'}$. Let $p : T_G \rightarrow G$ be the quotient map by the action of $\mathbb{F}$. Every gate at the vertex $\pi(v)$ has a fixed direction. Thus we can find an eigenray X in T_G based at v with initial edge f in E (since Y_e crosses $\mathbb{F}$ -orbit of every edge at v). Similarly, we get an eigenray X' based at v and initial edge f' in E' . The diagonal leaf $\{X, X'\}$ of $L_Q(T_\Phi^-)$ crosses $\overline{f}f'$. Now we have a sequence of edges $e_0 = e, e_1, \dots, e_l = f, e_{l+1} = f', e_{l+2}, \dots, e_k = e'$ starting at v such that every edge path $\overline{e_i}e_{i+1}$ for $i \neq l$ is crossed by a Λ_Φ^+ -leaf and $\overline{e_l}e_{l+1}$ is crossed by a diagonal leaf. $\square$

Lemma 3.24. *Suppose $\mathcal{Q}(X) = \mathcal{Q}(X')$ for every generic leaf $\{X, X'\}$ of Λ_Φ^+ and for every diagonal leaf $\{X, X'\}$ of $L_Q(T_\Phi^-)$. Let Z, Z' belong to $s(\Lambda_\Phi^+) \cup E\Lambda_\Phi^+$. Then the distance in $\overline{T}$ between $\mathcal{Q}(\Phi^p(Z))$ and $\mathcal{Q}(\Phi^p(Z'))$ tends to 0 as $p \rightarrow +\infty$.*

Proof. We follow the proof of Lemma 5.2 in [LL03]. If Z is in $s(\Lambda_\Phi^+)$ then there exists a ray ρ in T_G contained in $\Lambda_\Phi^+(T_G)$ with end point Z . If Z is in $E\Lambda_\Phi^+$ then there exists an eigenray ρ of ϕ with end point Z . Let's suppose $Z \in E\Lambda_\Phi^+$ and $Z' \in s(\Lambda_\Phi^+)$ with corresponding rays ρ and ρ' to exhibit the proof in both cases. Let e, e' be the initial edges of the two rays ρ and ρ' . By Lemma 3.23 we can find a sequence of edges $e = e_0, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k = e'$, in T_G connecting e to e' such that the finite subpaths $\gamma_i = e_i e'_i$ are subpaths of leaves of $L_Q(T_\Phi^-)$ where e'_i is the same as e_{i+1} but not necessarily with the same orientation. Note that the union of γ_i and γ_{i+1} is either a tripod or a

segment of length 3. We denote the leaf of $L_{\mathcal{Q}}(T_{\Phi}^-)$ containing γ_i by Γ_i , a diagonal leaf containing the eigenray ρ by Γ_0 and denote the Λ_{Φ}^+ -leaf containing ρ' by Γ_k .

By Proposition 3.16, given $\epsilon > 0$ let $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$ be such that $BCC(h) < \epsilon$. Let $\mu : T_G \rightarrow T_0$ be an $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant map and let $C > 2BCC(\mu)$.

For p large, the image of each edge in T_G by ϕ^p has length greater than equal to C . Let $\delta_i \subset T_0$ be the tightened image of $\phi^p(\Gamma_i)$ under the map μ . Since Γ_i and Γ_{i+1} have an edge in common, our choice of p insures that δ_i and δ_{i+1} have non-empty intersection. Now by assumption $\mathcal{Q}(X_i) = \mathcal{Q}(X'_i)$ for end points X_i, X'_i of δ_i . Also by Proposition 3.17, $h(\delta_i) \subset T$ is contained in a 2ϵ ball around $\mathcal{Q}(X_i)$. Since the δ_i intersect (as $p \rightarrow \infty$ the intersection grows), the 2ϵ neighborhoods of $\mathcal{Q}(X_i)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(X_{i+1})$ intersect. Thus $d(\mathcal{Q}(W), \mathcal{Q}(W')) \leq 4(k+1)\epsilon$ where W and W' are ends in $\partial\mathbb{F}$ determined by $\phi^p(\rho)$ and $\phi^p(\rho')$. The relation $\Phi(g) \circ \phi = \phi \circ g$ implies that $W = \Phi^p(Z)$ and $W' = \Phi^p(Z')$. $\square$

The following lemma is the relativization of [LL03, Proposition 5.3]. Recall the $\mathcal{A}$ -train track map $\phi_0 : G \rightarrow G$, and a lift to the universal cover $\phi : T_G \rightarrow T_G$ representing Φ where $T_G \in \mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$.

Lemma 3.25. *Suppose $\mathcal{Q}(X) = \mathcal{Q}(X')$ for every generic leaf $\{X, X'\}$ of Λ_{Φ}^+ and for every diagonal leaf $\{X, X'\}$ of $L_{\mathcal{Q}}(T_{\Phi}^-)$. Then there exist maps $i_p : T_G \rightarrow \overline{T}$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i_p \circ \phi^p$ is $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant and $BCC(i_p) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. We can assume that there are no vertices with trivial stabilizer in T_G . If there were some such vertices we could collapse a tree in $T_G/\mathbb{F}$ and factor through the quotient of T_G . For a representative v of an orbit of vertices in T_G fix an eigenray X_v in $E\Lambda_{\Phi}^-$ such that $\mathcal{Q}(X_v) = \tilde{v}$, where the $\tilde{v}$ is a point in T whose stabilizer contains the stabilizer of v . Then $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariantly assign an eigenray to every vertex in the orbit of v . In this way, assign an eigenray to each vertex of T_G .

We will now define a map $i_p : T_G \rightarrow T$ and show that $i_p(e) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$ for every edge e of T_G . For a vertex $v \in T_G$, set $i_p(v) = \mathcal{Q}(\Phi^{-p}(X_v))$ and extend linearly on edges. Now for an edge e of T_G with end points v, u we have, by applying Lemma 3.24 to Φ^{-1} , that distance between $i_p(v) = \mathcal{Q}(\Phi^{-p}(X_v))$ and $i_p(u) = \mathcal{Q}(\Phi^{-p}(X_u))$ goes to zero as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $i_p(e) \rightarrow 0$ which implies that $BCC(i_p) \rightarrow 0$. The map i_p satisfies a twisted equivariance relation $g \circ i_p = i_p \circ \Phi^p(g)$ for all $g \in \mathbb{F}$.

Also $i_p \circ \phi^p$ is $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ i_p \circ \phi^p &:= g \circ j_p \circ \pi \circ \phi^p = j_p \circ \Phi^p(g) \circ \phi^p \circ \pi = \\ &= j_p \circ \phi^p \circ g \circ \pi = j_p \circ \pi \circ \phi^p \circ g = i_p \circ \phi^p \circ g. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.22. Assume by contradiction that $\mathcal{Q}(X) = \mathcal{Q}(X')$ for every generic leaf $\{X, X'\}$ of Λ_{Φ}^+ and Λ_{Φ}^- and every diagonal leaf of $L_{\mathcal{Q}}(T_{\Phi}^-)$ and $L_{\mathcal{Q}}(T_{\Phi}^+)$. Let e be an edge in T_G and let $\gamma \in \Lambda_{\Phi}^+$ be a leaf that crosses e . Then $\phi^p(\gamma)$ is also a leaf of the lamination. By assumption, the end points of γ map to the same point under the $\mathcal{Q}$ map. By Proposition 3.17 and [LL03, Remark 3.7], $(i_p \circ \phi^p)(\gamma)$ is contained in a ball of radius $2BCC(i_p \circ \phi^p)$ in $\overline{T}$. We have $BCC(i_p \circ \phi^p) \leq BCC(i_p) + Lip(\phi^p)BCC(\phi^p)$. Since γ is a leaf of Λ_{Φ}^+ , ϕ^p restricted to γ has no cancellation thus we get that $(i_p \circ \phi^p)(\gamma)$ is in fact contained in a ball of radius $2BCC(i_p)$ in $\overline{T}$. Thus the diameter of $(i_p \circ \phi^p)(e)$ in $\overline{T}$ is bounded by $4BCC(i_p)$.

Now let u be a conjugacy class, represented by a loop of edge-length k in $G = T_G/\mathbb{F}$. Since $i_p \circ \phi^p$ is $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant, the translation length of u in T is bounded by $4kBCC(i_p)$. Since $BCC(i_p) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we get that every u has zero translation length in T which is a contradiction. $\square$

3.5. Proof of Theorem C. We will now put together the results from Section 3.2 and Section 3.4 to prove the following lemma, which shows that the conditions mentioned in Proposition 3.4 are satisfied by all trees in $\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ if we allow γ to be a leaf of Λ_{Φ}^+ or Λ_{Φ}^- .

Lemma 3.26. *Let $T \in \overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$. Then there exists a tree $T_0 \in \mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$, an equivariant map $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$, and a bi-infinite geodesic $\gamma_0 \subset T_0$ representing a generic leaf γ of Λ_{Φ}^+ or Λ_{Φ}^- such that $h(\gamma_0)$ has diameter greater than $2BCC(h)$.*

Proof. There are three cases to consider for a tree T in $\overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$.

- T has dense orbits (which implies that arc stabilizers are trivial by [LL03, Lemma 4.2]): Proposition 3.22 provides either a generic leaf $\{X, X'\}$ in Λ_{Φ}^+ or Λ_{Φ}^- with $\mathcal{Q}(X) \neq \mathcal{Q}(X')$, or it provides an eigenray $X_v \in E\Lambda_{\Phi}^+$ or $E\Lambda_{\Phi}^-$ based at a vertex v of T_G such that $\mathcal{Q}(X_v) \neq \tilde{v}$, where $\tilde{v}$ is the vertex of T containing the stabilizer of v . We can choose $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$ with $2BCC(h) < d(\mathcal{Q}(X), \mathcal{Q}(X'))$ or $2BCC(h) < d(\mathcal{Q}(X_v), \tilde{v})$ using Proposition 3.16. In the first case, we let γ_0 be the geodesic joining end points corresponding to X, X' in T_0 . In the second case, there exists a subsegment of an eigenray R_v corresponding to X_v whose diameter in T is at least $d_T(\mathcal{Q}(X_v), \tilde{v})$. We choose γ_0 to be any generic leaf (of either Λ_{Φ}^+ or Λ_{Φ}^-) crossing that subsegment.
- T does not have dense orbits and is also not simplicial: then T contains simplicial parts and also subtrees T_v with the property that some subgroup $G_v \subset \mathbb{F}$ acts with dense orbits on T_v . Let $\pi : T \rightarrow T'$ be a collapse map such that T' has dense orbits. Choose γ_0 as in the previous case, using T' . Then by Proposition 3.4 γ_0 is unbounded in T' and hence it is T -unbounded. The map $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$ may be chosen arbitrarily.
- T is simplicial: we want to show that a generic leaf of Λ_{Φ}^+ is unbounded in T . We know that $\partial\mathbb{F}$ can be identified set theoretically with the union of ∂T and ∂B for all vertex stabilizers B of T . The tree T may have non-trivial edge stabilizers but they are contained in some vertex stabilizers. We need to show that a tail of a generic leaf of Λ_{Φ}^+ or Λ_{Φ}^- does not live in ∂B for any vertex stabilizer B . By [GL95, Corollary III.4] vertex stabilizer in a tree in $\overline{CV}_n$ is finitely generated and has infinite index in $\mathbb{F}$. Also given T in $\overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$, for every $[A] \in \mathcal{A}$ a vertex stabilizer in T either contains the full free factor A or intersects it trivially. By Lemma 3.10, a generic leaf of the attracting lamination cannot be carried by a vertex stabilizer of T , therefore it is unbounded in T . One can choose $h : T_0 \rightarrow T$ arbitrarily. $\square$

Theorem C. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-trivial free factor system such that $\zeta(\mathcal{A}) \geq 3$. Let $\Phi \in \text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ be fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$. Then Φ acts on $\overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ with uniform north-south dynamics: there are two fixed points T_{Φ}^+ and T_{Φ}^- and any compact set that does not contain $T_{\Phi}^-(T_{\Phi}^+)$ uniformly converges to $T_{\Phi}^+(T_{\Phi}^-)$ under $\Phi(\Phi^{-1})$ -iterates.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.26 and Proposition 3.4, every T in $\overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ converges either to T_{Φ}^+ under forward iterates or to T_{Φ}^- under backward iterates. We know that T_{Φ}^+ is locally attracting and T_{Φ}^- is locally repelling. Thus given a tree $T \neq T_{\Phi}^-$, the set of its limit points under forward iterates cannot contain the repelling point T_{Φ}^- and hence T converges to T_{Φ}^+ . Similarly, a tree $T \neq T_{\Phi}^+$ under backward iterates converges to T_{Φ}^- . By Proposition 3.4, for every $T \neq T_{\Phi}^-$ there exists a neighborhood V_T of T such that V_T uniformly converges to T_{Φ}^+ under Φ . Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an open cover of $\overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})} \setminus T_{\Phi}^-$ by open sets of the form V_T . Let K be a compact set in $\overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})} \setminus T_{\Phi}^-$. Then $K \cap \mathcal{V}$ is an open cover of K which has a finite subcover by sets of the form V_T . Thus K uniformly converges to T_{Φ}^+ under Φ . $\square$

4. INTERSECTION FORM

In [KL09], Kapovich and Lustig established an intersection form between $\overline{cv}_n$, the closure of unprojectivized outer space and $\mathcal{MC}(\mathbb{F})$, the space of measured currents. The precise statement is as follows:

Theorem 4.1 ([KL09, Theorem A]). *There is a unique $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F})$ -invariant, continuous length pairing that is $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ homogeneous in the first coordinate and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ linear in the second coordinate.*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \overline{cv}_n \times \mathcal{MC}(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

Further, $\langle T, \eta_g \rangle = l_T(g)$ for all $T \in \overline{cv}_n$ and all rational currents η_g where $g \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{1\}$.

Kapovich and Lustig also give the following characterization of zero pairing:

Proposition 4.2 ([KL10, Theorem 1.1]). *Let $T \in \overline{cv}_n$, and let $\eta \in \mathcal{MC}(\mathbb{F})$. Then $\langle T, \eta \rangle = 0$ if and only if $\text{supp}(\eta) \subseteq L(T)$, where $L(T)$ is the dual lamination of T and $\text{supp}(\eta)$ is the support of η in $\partial^2 \mathbb{F}$.*

In this section we want to define an intersection form for $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$, the closure of relative outer space and $\mathcal{RC}(\mathcal{A})$, the space of relative currents. If $T \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ and $\eta_\alpha \in \mathcal{RC}(\mathcal{A})$ is a rational relative current then we can define $\langle T, \eta_\alpha \rangle := l_T(\alpha)$ as in the absolute case. But unfortunately this length pairing is not continuous. The following example was shown to us by Camille Horbez.

Example 4.3. Let $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$ with $\mathcal{A} = \{[\langle a \rangle]\}$. Let $T_k \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ be a simplicial tree such that $\Gamma_k = T_k/\mathbb{F}$ is a graph with two vertices joined by an edge and there is a loop at one of the vertices. Let $\langle a \rangle$ be the stabilizer of the vertex away from the loop. The graph Γ is marked such that the loop is labeled by $a^k b$. Let the loop and the edge have length 1. The limit of the sequence of trees T_k is the Bass-Serre tree of an HNN extension whose vertex stabilizer is given by $\langle a \rangle$ and it has a length 3 loop labeled b . Next consider a sequence of relative currents $\eta_k = \eta_{a^k b}$ converging to η_∞ , which is given by $\eta_\infty(a^n b) = \eta_\infty(b a^n) = 1$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $\eta_\infty(w) = 0$ for all other $w \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. We have that $\langle T_k, \eta_k \rangle = 1$ and $\langle T_k, \eta_{k+1} \rangle = 3$ for all k . For continuity of the pairing we would need $\langle T_k, \eta_k \rangle$ and $\langle T_k, \eta_{k+1} \rangle$ to converge to $\langle T, \eta_\infty \rangle$ but the limit doesn't exist in this example.

For the current purposes, in Section 4.3 we will define a pairing for $\overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ and $\mathbb{PRC}(\mathcal{A})$ along the lines of zero pairing criterion of Kapovich and Lustig. Before we give the pairing map we prove some lemmas about the dual lamination of trees and the support of relative currents.

Recall Notation 3.1 and Definition 3.2 for the next two sections.

4.1. Dual lamination of a tree. The key results from this section which will be used later are Lemma 4.4, Proposition 4.13 and Lemma 4.14. Recall the definition of dual lamination of a tree from Section 2.4.

Lemma 4.4. $\Lambda_\Phi^\mp \subseteq L(T_\Phi^\pm)$, $\Lambda_\Phi^\pm \not\subseteq L(T_\Phi^\pm)$.

Proof. We have $T_\Phi^+ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_G \phi^n}{\lambda_\Phi^n}$. Let w be a non-trivial conjugacy class in $\mathbb{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Assume $l_{T_\Phi^+}(w) = 1$. Let $g_m = \Phi^{-m}(w)$. Then $l_{T_\Phi^+}(g_m) = 1/\lambda_\Phi^m$ which implies $(g_m^{-\infty}, g_m^\infty)$ is contained in $L_{1/\lambda_\Phi^m}(T_\Phi^+)$. Thus $l_- = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} g_m$ is contained in $L(T_\Phi^+) = \bigcap_{m \rightarrow \infty} L_{1/\lambda_\Phi^m}(T_\Phi^+)$. Since l_- is a generic leaf of Λ_Φ^- and $L(T_\Phi^+)$ is a closed subset of $\partial^2 \mathbb{F}$ we conclude that $\Lambda_\Phi^- \subseteq L(T_\Phi^+)$.

Let $g_m = \Phi^m(w)$ such that g_m converges to a generic leaf $l_+ \in \Lambda_\Phi^+$. We have $l_{T_\Phi^+}(g_m) = \lambda_\Phi^m l_{T_\Phi^+}(w)$ which grows as m goes to infinity. Thus $l_+ \notin L(T_\Phi^+)$. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *The stable and unstable trees $T_\Phi^\pm$ have dense orbits.*

Proof. By a result of [Hor14, Proposition 4.16] which is a relativization of Levitt's decomposition theorem for trees in CV_n [Lev94] we have the following: if T_Φ^+ does not have dense orbits then T_Φ^+ splits uniquely as a graph of actions, all of whose vertex trees have dense orbits, such that the Bass-Serre tree $\mathcal{G}_{T_\Phi^+}$ of the underlying graph of groups is very small (Section 2.8), and all its edges have positive length. Up to taking powers $\mathcal{G}_{T_\Phi^+}$ is Φ -invariant. If $\mathcal{G}_{T_\Phi^+}$ has an edge with

trivial stabilizer then by collapsing all other edges we get a Φ -invariant free factor system, which is a contradiction. If the edge stabilizers are non-trivial, then they are non-peripheral. Then by theorems of Shenitzer [She55] and Swarup [Swa86] there is a smallest free factor system containing the edge stabilizer and $\mathcal{A}$, which will have to be Φ -invariant. This is a contradiction. $\square$

Let $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{F})$ be the (compact, metric) space of algebraic lamination in $\mathbb{F}$. The reader is referred to [CHL08a] for details about the space of laminations and some notation used in this section.

Definition 4.6 (Convergence of laminations [CHL08a, Remark 6.3]). We say a sequence of algebraic laminations L_n converges to a lamination L_∞ in $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{F})$ if the following holds: let L_n^s and L_∞^s be the symbolic laminations associated to L_n and L_∞ respectively with respect to some (any) basis of $\mathbb{F}$. Given a symbolic lamination L^s , let $\mathcal{L}_m(L^s)$ be the set of words in L^s of length less than equal to m . The sequence L_n converges to L_∞ if for every $m \geq 1$ there exists a $K(m) \geq 1$ such that for every $k \geq K(m)$, $\mathcal{L}_m(L_k^s) = \mathcal{L}_m(L_\infty^s)$.

Consider a sequence of trees T_k in $\overline{CV}_n$ converging to a tree T . Then we can look at the sequence of laminations $L(T_k)$ and ask if its limit is equal to $L(T)$ or not. An example in [CHL08b, Section 9] shows that $L_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L(T_n)$ may not be equal to $L(T)$. We record another example here.

Definition 4.7 (Birecurrent line). A line in a marked graph is called birecurrent if each subword of l appears infinitely often as a subword of both ends of l . A line $l = \{X, X'\} \in \partial\mathbb{F} \times \partial\mathbb{F} - \Delta$ is called recurrent if some (any) realization in a marked graph is a birecurrent line.

Example 4.8. Let $\mathbb{F} = \langle a, b \rangle$ be the free group of rank two. Let T_k be a simplicial $\mathbb{F}$ -tree given as follows: it is the universal cover of the one edge free splitting with vertex stabilizers given by $\langle a^k b \rangle$ and $\langle a \rangle$. The sequence T_k converges to a tree T which is the Bass-Serre tree of the HNN extension with vertex group $\langle a \rangle$ and edge labeled b . The algebraic lamination $L(T_k)$ is the set of periodic lines determined by a and $a^k b$ which converges to the periodic lines determined by a , denoted $\dots aaaa \dots$, and the lines $\dots aaaa b aaaa \dots$. On the other hand, $L(T)$ is given by the periodic lines determined by a . We see that the birecurrent line in the limit of the laminations $L(T_k)$ is contained in $L(T)$. This is in fact always true by a result of [CHL06]. See Proposition 4.13.

Definition 4.9. For a basis $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ and a tree $T \in \overline{CV}_n$, let $L_\mathfrak{B}^1(T) \subset \partial\mathbb{F}$ be the set of one sided infinite words with respect to $\mathfrak{B}$ that are bounded in T . By [CHL08b, Proposition 5.2] this set is independent of the basis and henceforth will be denoted $L^1(T)$.

Definition 4.10 ([CHL08b, Definition 5.4]). For any basis $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ and any non-empty subset $S \subset \partial\mathbb{F}$, let $L_\infty(S)$ be the algebraic lamination defined by the recurrent laminary language in $\mathfrak{B}^\pm$ associated to S :

$$L_\infty(S) := L^2(\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{B}(S))$$

This definition is also independent of the basis.

See [CHL08b] for more details and the definition of a recurrent laminary language. We need the following lemma for the proof of Lemma 4.13.

Lemma 4.11. *Let T be a tree in $\overline{CV}_n$. Then the birecurrent leaves of $L_\infty(T) := L^2(\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{B}(L^1(T)))$, which is the algebraic lamination defined by the birecurrent language associated to $L^1(T)$, are contained in $L(T)$.*

Proof. We look at different cases according to whether T is simplicial or has dense orbits.

- T has dense orbits: by [CHL08b, Proposition 5.8] a stronger statement is true, which says that $L_\infty(T) = L(T)$.
- T is simplicial with trivial edge stabilizers but is not free: let $\hat{T}$ be a free simplicial tree with a collapse map $c : \hat{T} \rightarrow T$. Then bounded cancellation constant for the map c is zero. The boundary of $\hat{T}$ can be identified with $\partial\mathbb{F}$ in a canonical way. The map c extends to $\partial\hat{T}$

and we denote its restriction to $\partial\hat{T}$ by $Q : \partial\hat{T} \rightarrow T \sqcup \partial T$. If $X \in \partial\hat{T}$ is carried by a vertex stabilizer of T then $Q(X)$ is precisely (since c has no cancellation) the vertex in T with that stabilizer, otherwise $Q(X)$ is a point in ∂T . Let $l = \{X, X'\}$ be a birecurrent leaf in $L_\infty(T)$. Since X and X' are T -bounded, $Q(X)$ and $Q(X')$ are vertices in T . If $Q(X) \neq Q(X')$ then l crosses an edge e in $\hat{T}$ that maps to a non-degenerate edge in T . Since l is birecurrent, l crosses translates of e infinitely often and since there is no backtracking this means that X or X' is not T -bounded. This is a contradiction. Thus $Q(X) = Q(X')$. Thus under the map c the whole line l maps to a vertex of T with non-trivial stabilizer which implies that l is carried by a vertex stabilizer of T . Hence $l \in L(T)$.

- T is simplicial with non-trivial edge stabilizers: by results of [Swa86] and [She55], for T there exists $\hat{T}$ a free simplicial tree with an $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant map $c : \hat{T} \rightarrow T$ which is a composition of a collapse map and a fold map. The constant $\text{BCC}(c)$ comes from the folding map, and the edge paths in $\hat{T}$ that possibly backtrack under the map c are the ones that cross a minimal subtree (of $\hat{T}$) of an edge stabilizer of T . By [BFH97, Lemma 3.1] $\text{BCC}(c) \leq \text{Lip}(c) \text{vol}(\hat{T})$. By scaling the metric on $\hat{T}$ we may assume that $\text{Lip}(c)$ is less than equal to 1. Also the volume of the free simplicial tree $\hat{T}$ is bounded. Thus $\text{BCC}(c)$ is finite. As before we consider the map $Q : \partial\hat{T} \rightarrow T \sqcup \partial T$ which is a priori only coarsely defined but we know exactly when backtracking occurs. Let $X \in \partial\hat{T}$ be represented by a one-sided infinite word x starting at the basepoint in $\hat{T}$. If the tail of x is carried by a vertex stabilizer of T then except an initial segment, $c(x)$ crosses the corresponding vertex in T infinitely often with possibly some bounded backtracking. Thus we set $Q(X)$ to be that vertex. If the tail of x is carried by an edge stabilizer H then except an initial segment, $c(x)$ is a vertex of T whose stabilizer contains H and we set $Q(X)$ to be that vertex. Even though there are finitely many vertices in T whose stabilizer contains H there is only one minimal subtree for H in $\hat{T}$, which maps to a unique vertex in T . Thus in this case $Q(X)$ only depends on the choice of $\hat{T}$. If the tail of x is neither carried by a vertex stabilizer nor an edge stabilizer then $Q(X)$ is an element of ∂T . Now if we have a birecurrent leaf $l = \{X, X'\}$ such that X and X' are T -bounded, then $Q(X) = Q(X')$. Thus the leaf l maps to a vertex of T under the map c with possible backtracking from edges in $\hat{T}$ that fold under the map c . Such edges in $\hat{T}$ map to edges with non-trivial stabilizer in T . But an edge stabilizer is contained in a vertex stabilizer. Thus l is carried by a vertex stabilizer of T and hence l is in $L(T)$.
- When T is neither simplicial nor does it have dense orbits: let T' be the simplicial tree which is the graph of actions (see [Gui04] for definition) of T corresponding to the Levitt decomposition [Lev94] of T . Let $l = \{X, X'\}$ be a birecurrent leaf in $L_\infty(T)$. Since $X, X' \in L^1(T)$, we get that X, X' are also T' -bounded. Since l is birecurrent, by the previous two cases we get that l is carried by a vertex stabilizer H of T' . Since vertices of T' correspond to subtrees with dense orbits in T , we have that l is contained in some subtree T_d of T with dense orbits and stabilizer H . Since T_d is a subtree of T , X and X' are also T_d -bounded.

The subgroup H is finitely generated because point stabilizers in the very small tree T' have bounded rank [GL95]. Therefore, there exists a finite graph Γ_H and an immersion $i : \Gamma_H \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{B}}$, where $R_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is a rose with petals labeled by elements of a basis $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathbb{F}$, such that $\pi_1(i(\Gamma_H)) = H$. Since H carries l , which can be viewed as a map $l : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{B}}$, there exists a map $l_H : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \Gamma_H$ such that $i \circ l_H = l$. Since l is birecurrent we claim that l_H is also birecurrent. Consider a word w in l_H such that $i(w)$ is a subword of l . Since l is birecurrent $i(w)$ appears infinitely often in both ends of l . Let $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$ be the pre-images of all occurrences of $i(w)$ in l_H . There are only finitely many such w_i because Γ_H is a finite graph. Thus at least one of the w_i appears infinitely often in both ends of

l_H . But we need to show that every such w_i appears infinitely often in l_H . So consider a finite subword u of l_H that contains at least one appearance of each w_i . Such a word exists because there are only finitely many w_i . Now $i(u)$ appears infinitely often in both ends of l . Therefore, some pre-image u_1 of $i(u)$ in l_H appears infinitely often. Since every pre-image of $i(u)$ contains all the w_i s we get that each w_i appears infinitely often in both ends of l_H . Thus l_H is birecurrent.

Let $l_H = \{X_H, X'_H\}$. Since i is an immersion and X, X' are T_d -bounded then X_H, X'_H are also T_d -bounded. Thus l_H is in $L_\infty(T_d)$, which is equal to $L(T_d)$ by the first case. Since T_d is a subtree of T and l is contained in T_d , we get that $l = i \circ l_H$ is in $L(T)$. $\square$

Definition 4.12. A lamination L is called birecurrent if every leaf of L is birecurrent.

Proposition 4.13 ([CHL06]). *Let $\{T_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of trees in $\overline{CV}_n$ converging to a tree T . Also suppose that the sequence of laminations $L(T_k)$ converges to L_∞ in $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{F})$. Let L_r be a birecurrent sublamination of L_∞ . Then $L_r \subseteq L(T)$.*

For completeness we give a proof of the above proposition.

Proof. We will use notation from [CHL08b]. If the trees T_k are free simplicial then their dual lamination is empty and the lemma is true vacuously. So let's assume that $L(T_k)$ is non-empty, which is indeed true for trees in relative outer space. Let $l = \{X, X'\}$ be a leaf of L_∞ . Fix a basis $\mathfrak{B}$ of $\mathbb{F}$ and realize X in this basis as a one-sided infinite word. For $l \geq 1$, let $X_l \in \mathbb{F}$ be the prefix of length l of X . We first show that $X \in L^1(T)$, that is, for a point $p \in T$ the sequence $X_l p$ is bounded in T . Suppose not. Then for any $C > 0$, $p \in T$, $K_0 > 0$, there exists $q > r > K_0$ such that $d_T(X_q p, X_r p) > C$. Let $u = X_r^{-1} X_q$. Then $d_T(up, p) > C$. By Gromov-Hausdorff topology on $\overline{CV}_n$, given $p, up \in T$, let $p_k, s_k \in T_k$ be approximations of p and up relative to some exhaustions (see [Hor16, Lemma 4.1] for details). Then $d_{T_k}(up_k, s_k)$ goes to zero and $d_{T_k}(s_k, p_k)$ goes to $d_T(up_k, p_k)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus given $\delta > 0$ there exists a $K_1 > 0$ such that for all $k > K_1$, $d_T(up, p) - \delta \leq d_{T_k}(up_k, p_k)$, or in other words, $d_{T_k}(up_k, p_k) \geq C - \delta$.

Now by the convergence criterion (Definition 4.6), for any $m \geq 1$ there exists a $K_2(m) > 0$ such that for all $k \geq K_2$, $\mathcal{L}_m(L(T_k)) = \mathcal{L}_m(L_\infty)$. Let m be the word length of u with respect to the fixed basis. Since $u \in \mathcal{L}_m(L_\infty)$ we get that $u \in \mathcal{L}_m(L(T_k))$ for all $k > \max(K_0, K_1, K_2)$. By [CHL08b, Remark 4.2], this means that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a cyclically reduced w in $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\|w\|_{T_k} < \epsilon$ and u is a subword of w . Also by [CHL08b, Lemma 3.1(c)]

$$d_{T_k}(up_k, p_k) \leq 2 \text{BCC}(\mathfrak{B}, p_k) + \|w\|_{T_k},$$

where $\text{BCC}(\mathfrak{B}, p_k)$ is the bounded cancellation constant of the $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant map from $\text{Cay}(\mathfrak{B})$ to T_k such that the base point of $\text{Cay}(\mathfrak{B})$ is mapped to p_k . We claim that $\text{BCC}_k := \text{BCC}(\mathfrak{B}, p_k)$ is bounded above by a constant. Let $\text{BCC}_T := \text{BCC}(\mathfrak{B}, p)$. Since up is in the BCC_T neighborhood of axis of w in T then by [Hor16, Lemma 4.1 (c)] for sufficiently large k , s_k is in the $\text{BCC}_T + 1$ neighborhood of axis of w in T_k . Given $\delta' > 0$, for sufficiently large k , $d_{T_k}(up_k, s_k) \leq \delta'$. Therefore, up_k is in a $\text{BCC}_T + 1 + \delta'$ neighborhood of axis of w in T_k . Since this is true for any cyclically reduced word w and a subword u we get that $\text{BCC}_k \leq \text{BCC}_T + 1 + \delta'$.

By choosing C large enough we get a contradiction since

$$C - \delta \leq d_{T_k}(up_k, p_k) \leq 2 \text{BCC}_k + \|w\|_{T_k} \leq 2(\text{BCC}_T + 1 + \delta') + \epsilon$$

for all k sufficiently large. Thus we have that X and similarly X' are both in $L^1(T)$. Therefore $l \in L_\infty(T)$.

If $l = \{X, X'\}$ is birecurrent and $l \in L_\infty(T)$ then by Lemma 4.11, $l \in L(T)$. Thus $L_r \subseteq L(T)$. $\square$

Lemma 4.14. *Let $\{T_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of trees in $\overline{CV}_n$ converging to a tree T such that T has dense orbits. Also suppose that the sequence of laminations $L(T_k)$ converges to L_∞ in $\Lambda^2(\mathbb{F})$. Then $L_\infty \subseteq L(T)$.*

Proof. If the trees T_k are free simplicial then $L_\infty = \emptyset$. Thus after passing to a subsequence we assume that $L(T_k) \neq \emptyset$. Since T has dense orbits, by [LL03, Proposition 2.2] (see Proposition 3.16), given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a free simplicial $\mathbb{F}$ -tree S and an $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant map $h : S \rightarrow T$ which is isometric on edges ($\text{Lip}(h) = 1$) and $\text{BCC}(h) < \text{vol}(S) < \epsilon$. We will now construct $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant maps $h_k : S \rightarrow T_k$ for k sufficiently large such that $\text{BCC}(h_k)$ is bounded above by a linear function of ϵ .

For trees $S \in CV_n$ and T in $\overline{CV}_n$, let $\text{Lip}(S, T)$ be the infimum of the Lipschitz constant of all $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant maps $f : S \rightarrow T$. By [Alg12, Proposition 4.5], [Hor16, Theorem 0.2], $\text{Lip}(S, T)$ is equal to

$$\Lambda(S, T) := \sup_{g \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{1\}} \frac{\|g\|_T}{\|g\|_S}.$$

By [Alg12, Proposition 4.5], [Hor16, Proposition 6.15, 6.16] the supremum above can be taken over a set of candidates $\mathcal{C}(S) \subset \mathbb{F}$. Since S is free simplicial, the set $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is finite.

For every $\delta > 0$ and the finite set $\mathcal{C}(S)$ of elements of $\mathbb{F}$, there exists a $K > 0$ such that for all $k \geq K$ and for all $g \in \mathcal{C}(S)$,

$$\|g\|_{T_k} \leq \|g\|_T + \delta.$$

Thus we have that $\Lambda(S, T_k) \leq \Lambda(S, T) + \delta'$ where δ' is the maximum of $\delta/\|g\|_S$ for all $g \in \mathcal{C}(S)$. This implies that $\text{Lip}(S, T_k) \leq \text{Lip}(S, T) + \delta' \leq \text{Lip}(h) + \delta' \leq 1 + \delta'$. By [Hor16, Theorem 0.4] $\text{Lip}(S, T_k)$ is realized, that is, there exists an $\mathbb{F}$ -equivariant map $h_k : S \rightarrow \overline{T_k}$, where $\overline{T_k}$ is the closure of T_k , such that $\text{Lip}(h_k) = \text{Lip}(S, T_k) \leq 1 + \delta'$ for all $k \geq K$. Also

$$\text{BCC}(h_k) \leq \text{Lip}(h_k) \text{vol}(S) \leq (1 + \delta')\epsilon.$$

Now consider a sequence of leaves $l_k \in L(T_k)$ converging to a leaf $l \in L_\infty$. Then by Proposition 3.17 ($\mathcal{Q}$ map), the diameter of $h_k(l_k)$ in $\overline{T_k}$ is bounded by $2\text{BCC}(h_k)$ which is less than $2(1 + \delta')\epsilon$. Thus, in the limit, the diameter of $h(l)$ in $\overline{T}$ is bounded above by $2(1 + \delta')\epsilon$. Since ϵ and δ were arbitrary, we get that $l \in L(T)$. $\square$

4.2. Support of a relative current. Support of a relative current η is defined as the closure in $\mathbf{Y}$ (see Section 2.10 for definition) of the intersection of the complement of all open sets $U \subset \mathbf{Y}$ such that $\eta(U) = 0$. For $\eta \in \mathbb{P}\mathcal{RC}(\mathcal{A})$, $\text{supp}(\eta)$ is a closed, non-empty and $\mathbb{F}$ -invariant subset of $\mathbf{Y}$. Since $\mathbf{Y}$ is not a closed subset of $\partial^2\mathbb{F}$, $\text{supp}(\eta) \subset \mathbf{Y}$ may not be a closed subset of $\partial^2\mathbb{F}$. Let $\overline{\text{supp}(\eta)}$ denote its closure in $\partial^2\mathbb{F}$. Then $\overline{\text{supp}(\eta)} \setminus \text{supp}(\eta)$ is contained in $\partial^2\mathcal{A}$ which is non-empty when lines in $\text{supp}(\eta)$ accumulate on lines in $\partial^2\mathcal{A}$.

Example 4.15. Let $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$, $\mathcal{A} = \{[\langle a \rangle]\}$ and consider the sequence of relative currents η_{a^nb} converging to η_∞ in $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{RC}(\mathcal{A})$ as in Example 4.3. Then $\text{supp}(\eta_\infty)$ is given by bi-infinite geodesics determined by $\dots aabaaa \dots$. Thus the set $\overline{\text{supp}(\eta_\infty)}$ also contains the bi-infinite lines given by $\dots aaaaa \dots$. Geometrically, consider a lamination L on a torus with one puncture (with fundamental group identified with $F_2 = \langle a, b \rangle$) as follows: the lamination L contains the simple closed curve a and another leaf l which goes around b and spirals towards a from both sides. In the absolute case, the support of the current μ_{a^kb} is the curve a and the curve c_k obtained by Dehn twisting b around a , k times. The absolute currents μ_{a^kb} projectively converge to the absolute current μ_a whose support is just the curve a . But in the relative case, the support of the relative current η_{a^kb} is the curve c_k and the relative currents η_{a^kb} converge to η_∞ whose support is the leaf l . Thus when we take the closure of l we also get the curve a .

We have that $\overline{\text{supp}(\eta)}$ is a closed, non-empty, $\mathbb{F}$ -invariant subset of $\partial^2\mathbb{F}$. Recall Notation 3.1 for a relative train track representative of Φ .

Lemma 4.16. $\Lambda_\Phi^+ \cap \mathbf{Y}$ is minimal in $\mathbf{Y}$, that is, $\Lambda_\Phi^+ \cap \mathbf{Y}$ contains no proper closed (in $\mathbf{Y}$), non-empty $\mathbb{F}$ -invariant subset.

Proof. By [BFH00, Lemma 3.1.15] we have the following: suppose δ is a generic leaf in Λ_Φ^+ that is not entirely contained in G_{r-1} . Then the closure of δ in $\partial^2\mathbb{F}$ is all of Λ_Φ^+ . Suppose $\Lambda_\Phi^+ \cap \mathbf{Y}$ contains a proper closed (in $\mathbf{Y}$), non-empty, $\mathbb{F}$ -invariant subset S . A generic leaf δ in S is not entirely contained in G_{r-1} where $\mathcal{F}(G_{r-1}) = \mathcal{A}$. Since $\mathbf{Y}$ gets subspace topology from $\partial^2\mathbb{F}$, the closure of δ in $\mathbf{Y}$ is all of $\Lambda_\Phi^+ \cap \mathbf{Y}$ which is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 4.17. *We have*

- (a) $\overline{\text{supp}(\eta_\Phi^\pm)}$ as a subset of $\mathbf{Y}$ is equal to $\Lambda_\Phi^\pm \cap \mathbf{Y}$.
- (b) $\text{supp}(\eta_\Phi^\pm) \subseteq \Lambda_\Phi^\pm \cup \partial^2\mathcal{A}$.

A proof of a similar fact in the case of a fully irreducible automorphism can be found in [CP12, Proposition 6.1].

Proof. Let a be a primitive conjugacy class in $\mathbb{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ realized as α in $G' = T'_G/\mathbb{F}$. Then α is a union of N r -legal paths for some $N > 0$. For every $m \geq 0$, $\alpha_m := (\phi')^m(\alpha)$ contains at most N segments of leaves of $\Lambda_\Phi^+ \cap \mathbf{Y}$. Let the complement of $\Lambda_\Phi^+ \cap \mathbf{Y}$ in $\mathbf{Y}$ be covered by cylinder sets $C(\gamma)$ where γ is a subpath of G' that crosses H_r and is not crossed by any leaf of Λ_Φ^+ . For every $m \geq 0$, α_m contains at most N occurrences of γ (at concatenation points of the r -legal segments). Thus $\eta_{\alpha_m}(C(\gamma)) \leq N$. Since $\eta_{\alpha_m}/\lambda_\Phi^m \rightarrow \eta_\Phi^+$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have that $\eta_\Phi^+(C(\gamma)) = 0$. Thus $\text{supp}(\eta_\Phi^+) \subseteq \Lambda_\Phi^+ \cap \mathbf{Y}$. By Lemma 4.16, $\Lambda_\Phi^+ \cap \mathbf{Y}$ is minimal in $\mathbf{Y}$ therefore we have $\text{supp}(\eta_\Phi^+) = \Lambda_\Phi^+ \cap \mathbf{Y}$. Since Λ_Φ^+ is a closed subset of $\partial^2\mathbb{F}$ we get that $\overline{\text{supp}(\eta_\Phi^+)} \subseteq \Lambda_\Phi^+ \cup \partial^2\mathcal{A}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.18. *Let $\{\eta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of relative currents converging to a relative current η . Suppose the sequence $\text{supp}(\eta_n)$ converges to $S \subset \mathbf{Y}$. Then $\text{supp}(\eta) \subseteq S$.*

Proof. Consider a word $w \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ such that $\eta(w) > 0$. Then given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists an $N_0 > 0$ such that for every $n > N_0$, $\eta_n(w) > \epsilon$. Thus $C(w) \cap \text{supp}(\eta_n)$ is non-empty for every $n \geq N_0$ which implies that $C(w) \cap S$ is non-empty. Since this is true for any word $w \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \mathcal{A}$ with $\eta(w) > 0$, we get that $\text{supp}(\eta) \subset S$. $\square$

4.3. Intersection form.

Definition 4.19. Define a function $I : \overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})} \times \mathbb{PRC}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I(T, \eta) &= 0 \text{ if } \overline{\text{supp}(\eta)} \subseteq L(T), \\ I(T, \eta) &= 1 \text{ if } \overline{\text{supp}(\eta)} \not\subseteq L(T). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.20. *The function I satisfies the following properties:*

- (a) $I(T\Psi, \eta) = I(T, \Psi\eta)$ for $\Psi \in \text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$.
- (b) Let $T_n \rightarrow T$ in $\overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ and $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta$ in $\mathbb{PRC}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $I(T_n, \eta_n) = 0$ for all n . If either T has dense orbits or $\text{supp}(\eta)$ is a birecurrent lamination then $I(T, \eta) = 0$.

Proof. (a) We have $\text{supp}(\Psi\eta) = \Psi \text{supp}(\eta)$ and $L(T\Psi) = \Psi^{-1}L(T)$ which gives the desired equality.

- (b) Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the closure of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{supp}(\eta_n)$ and let $L(T_n)$ converge to L_∞ . Then $\mathcal{S} \subseteq L_\infty$ and by Lemma 4.18, $\overline{\text{supp}(\eta)} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. If T has dense orbits then by Lemma 4.14, $L_\infty \subseteq L(T)$. Thus $\overline{\text{supp}(\eta)} \subseteq L(T)$. If $\text{supp}(\eta)$ is a birecurrent lamination then by Proposition 4.13, it is contained in $L(T)$. $\square$

Lemma 4.21 (Uniqueness of dual). *Let Φ be a fully irreducible outer automorphism relative to $\mathcal{A}$. Let $T \in \overline{\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{PRC}(\mathcal{A})$. Then*

- (a) $I(T_\Phi^\pm, \eta_\Phi^\mp) = 0$
- (b) If $I(T_\Phi^\pm, \eta) = 0$ then $\eta = \eta_\Phi^\mp$.
- (c) If $I(T, \eta_\Phi^\mp) = 0$ then $T = T_\Phi^\pm$.

- Proof.* (a) By Lemma 4.4 $\Lambda_{\Phi}^{\mp} \subset L(T_{\Phi}^{\pm})$. Also $\partial^2 \mathcal{A} \subset L(T_{\Phi}^{\pm})$ because $\mathcal{A}$ is elliptic in $T_{\Phi}^{\pm}$. Thus by Lemma 4.17 $\text{supp}(\eta_{\Phi}^{\mp}) \subseteq L(T_{\Phi}^{\pm})$.
- (b) By Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.17 $\text{supp}(\eta_{\Phi}^+) \not\subseteq L(T_{\Phi}^+)$, therefore $I(T_{\Phi}^+, \eta_{\Phi}^+) \neq 0$. Now suppose $I(T_{\Phi}^+, \eta) = 0$ for some $\eta \neq \eta_{\Phi}^-$. Then by definition $\text{supp}(\eta) \subseteq L(T_{\Phi}^+)$. By the $\text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ action we also get that $\text{supp}(\Phi^n(\eta)) \subseteq L(T_{\Phi}^+)$. By Theorem B, $\Phi^n(\eta)$ converges to η_{Φ}^+ therefore in the limit $\text{supp}(\eta_{\Phi}^+) \subseteq L(T_{\Phi}^+)$ which is a contradiction.
- (c) Similar argument as above using Theorem C. $\square$

5. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

We will now present a proof of Theorem A.

Lemma 5.1 (UV-pair). *Let Φ be fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$. For every neighborhood U of T_{Φ}^+ in $\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A}) \ni$ a neighborhood V of η_{Φ}^- in $\mathbb{PRC}(\mathcal{A})$ such that for every $T \in U^C$ and $\eta \in V$ we have $I(T, \eta) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Assume by contradiction that there exists a U such that for every neighborhood V of η_{Φ}^- there exist $T \in U^C$ and $\eta \in V$ such that $I(T, \eta) = 0$.

Let V_i be an infinite sequence of nested neighborhoods of η_{Φ}^- such that $V_i \supset V_{i+1}$ and $\cap V_i = \eta_{\Phi}^-$. Then by assumption there exist $T_i \in U^C$ and $\eta_i \in V_i$ such that $I(T_i, \eta_i) = 0$. Since $\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ is compact, after passing to a subsequence we have $T_i \rightarrow T$, for $T \neq T_{\Phi}^+$. Also $\eta_i \rightarrow \eta_{\Phi}^-$. Since the support of η_{Φ}^- gives a birecurrent lamination, by Lemma 4.20 we get $I(T, \eta_{\Phi}^-) = 0$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

Lemma 5.2 (VU-pair). *For every neighborhood V of η_{Φ}^- in $\mathbb{PRC}(\mathcal{A}) \ni$ a neighborhood U of T_{Φ}^+ in $\mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ such that for every $\eta \in V^C$ and $T \in U$ we have $I(T, \eta) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Same as for Lemma 5.1. $\square$

Lemma 5.3. *There exist nested sequences $U_0 \supset U_1 \supset U_2 \supset U_3 \dots \supset U_{2N}$ and $V_1 \supset V_2 \supset V_3 \dots \supset V_{2N}$ of neighborhoods of T_{Φ}^+ and η_{Φ}^- respectively such that the following are true:*

- $\exists k > 0$ such that for every i , $\Phi^k(U_i) \subset U_{i+1}$ and $\Phi^{-k}(V_i) \subset V_{i+1}$.
- (U_i, V_{i+1}) form a UV-pair for all $i \geq 0$.
- (V_i, U_i) form a VU-pair for all $i \geq 1$.

Proof. To build such sequences start with U_0 . Then there exists V_1 such that (U_0, V_1) form a UV-pair. Next there exists a U_1 such that (V_1, U_1) form a VU-pair. If $U_1 \not\subseteq U_0$ then we can replace U_1 by a smaller open set in $U_1 \cap U_0$.

Let $r_i = \min\{p \mid \Phi^p(U_i) \subset U_{i+1}\}$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2N$ and let $s_i = \min\{p \mid \Phi^{-p}(V_i) \subset V_{i+1}\}$ for $0 < i < 2N$. The numbers r_i and s_i exist because we have uniform north-south dynamics. Now define k to be the maximum of the numbers r_i, s_i . $\square$

Theorem A. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a non-exceptional free factor system and let $\Phi \in \text{Out}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$. Then Φ acts loxodromically on $\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ if and only if Φ is fully irreducible relative to $\mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ be a free factor system. Let $T_{\mathcal{D}} \in \mathbb{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ be a simplicial tree such that its set of vertex stabilizers is equal to $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\eta_{\mathcal{D}}$ be a relative current with support contained in $\partial^2 \mathcal{D}$. Consider nested neighborhoods $U_0 \supset U_1 \supset \dots \supset U_{2N}$ of T_{Φ}^+ and $V_1 \supset V_2 \supset \dots \supset V_{2N}$ of η_{Φ}^- and constant k as in Lemma 5.3 such that $T_{\mathcal{D}} \in U_0 \cap U_1^C$ and $\eta_{\mathcal{D}} \in V_1^C$. See Figure 10. By Lemma 5.1 and 5.2 we have the following:

- If $T \in U_i^C$ and $I(T, \eta) = 0$ then $\eta \in V_{i+1}^C$.
- If $\eta \in V_i^C$ and $I(T, \eta) = 0$ then $T \in U_i^C$.




FIGURE 10.

We have $T_D \Phi^{ik} \in U_i$ and $\Phi^{-ik} \eta_D \in V_i$. If $\mathcal{D}$ is the set of vertex stabilizers of T_D then $\Phi^{-2ik}(\mathcal{D})$ is the set of vertex stabilizers of $T_D \Phi^{2ik}$.

We claim that $d_{\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{D}, \Phi^{-2Nk}\mathcal{D}) > 2N$ and $d_{\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{D}, \Phi^{2Nk}\mathcal{D}) > 2N$. For simplicity let's first consider the case when $N = 1$ and for contradiction assume that $d_{\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{D}, \Phi^{-2k}\mathcal{D}) = 2$. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a free factor system distance one from both $\mathcal{D}$ and $\Phi^{-2k}\mathcal{D}$. There are two cases to consider:

- (a) $\mathcal{E} \sqsubset \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E} \sqsubset \Phi^{-2k}\mathcal{D}$: let $T_{\mathcal{E}}$ be a simplicial tree whose set of vertex stabilizers is given by $\mathcal{E}$. Choose η such that $I(T_{\mathcal{E}}, \eta) = 0$. Then $I(T_D, \eta) = 0$. Since $T_D \in U_1^C$ we get that $\eta \in V_2^C$. Also $I(T_D \Phi^{2k}, \eta) = 0$ and since $\eta \in V_2^C$ we get $T_D \Phi^{2k} \in U_2^C$. But that is a contradiction since $T_D \Phi^{2k} \in U_2$.
- (b) $\mathcal{E} \supset \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E} \supset \Phi^{-2k}\mathcal{D}$: we have that $I(T_{\mathcal{E}}, \eta_D) = 0$. Since $\eta_D \in V_1^C$ we get $T_{\mathcal{E}} \in U_1^C$. We also have that $I(T_{\mathcal{E}}, \Phi^{2k}\eta_D) = 0$. Since $T_{\mathcal{E}} \in U_1^C$ we get $\Phi^{-2k}\eta_D \in V_2^C$, which is a contradiction.

The above proof also shows that $d_{\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{D}, \Phi^{-2Nk}(\mathcal{D})) > 2$. For contradiction, suppose that $d_{\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})}(\mathcal{D}, \Phi^{-2Nk}\mathcal{D}) \leq 2N$. Consider a geodesic $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \dots, \mathcal{E}_l, \mathcal{E}_{l+1} = \Phi^{-2Nk}\mathcal{D}$, $l < 2N$, in $\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$. Without loss of generality assume that $\mathcal{E}_1 \sqsubset \mathcal{D}$. Then starting with applying the same argument as in (a) for the triple $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ we alternatively apply (a) and (b) to reach a contradiction.

As an example let's consider a geodesic $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, \dots, \mathcal{E}_5, \mathcal{E}_6 = \Phi^{-6k}\mathcal{D}$ in $\mathcal{FF}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ connecting $\mathcal{D}$ and $\Phi^{-6k}\mathcal{D}$. Without loss of generality assume that $\mathcal{E}_1 \sqsubset \mathcal{D}$. Let T_i be a tree in $\mathcal{PO}(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{A})$ whose set of vertex stabilizers is given by $\mathcal{E}_i$. We have $T_0 \in U_0 \cap U_1^C$ and thus T_6 is contained in U_6 .

- Given T_1 , choose η_1 such that $I(T_1, \eta_1) = 0$ which implies that $I(T_D, \eta_1) = 0$ ($\text{supp}(\eta_1) \subset \partial^2 \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \partial^2 \mathcal{D}$). Also $I(T_2, \eta_1) = 0$ because $\text{supp}(\eta_1) \subset \partial^2 \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \partial^2 \mathcal{E}_2$.
- Given T_3 , choose η_2 such that $I(T_3, \eta_2) = 0$ which implies that $I(T_2, \eta_2) = 0$ ($\text{supp}(\eta_2) \subset \partial^2 \mathcal{E}_3 \subset \partial^2 \mathcal{E}_2$). Also $I(T_4, \eta_2) = 0$ because $\text{supp}(\eta_2) \subset \partial^2 \mathcal{E}_3 \subset \partial^2 \mathcal{E}_4$.
- Given T_5 , choose η_3 such that $I(T_5, \eta_3) = 0$ which implies that $I(T_4, \eta_3) = 0$ ($\text{supp}(\eta_3) \subset \partial^2 \mathcal{E}_5 \subset \partial^2 \mathcal{E}_4$). Also $I(T_6, \eta_3) = 0$ because $\text{supp}(\eta_3) \subset \partial^2 \mathcal{E}_5 \subset \partial^2 \mathcal{E}_6$.

Now using all of the above information we get

$$T_D \in U_1^C \implies \eta_1 \in V_2^C \implies T_2 \in U_2^C \implies \eta_2 \in V_3^C \implies T_4 \in U_3^C \implies \eta_3 \in V_4^C \implies T_6 \in U_4^C,$$

which is a contradiction. See Figure 11. □




FIGURE 11.

REFERENCES

- [Alg12] Yael Algom-Kfir. The Metric Completion of Outer Space. *arXiv:1202.6392*, February 2012.
- [BF94] Mladen Bestvina and Mark Feighn. Outer limits. *preprint, available at <http://andromeda.rutgers.edu/~feighn/papers/outer.pdf>*, 1994.
- [BF02] Mladen Bestvina and Koji Fujiwara. Bounded cohomology of subgroups of mapping class groups. *Geom. Topol.*, 6:69–89 (electronic), 2002.
- [BF10] Mladen Bestvina and Mark Feighn. A hyperbolic $\text{Out}(F_n)$ -complex. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 4(1):31–58, 2010.
- [BFH97] Mladen Bestvina, Mark Feighn, and Michael Handel. Laminations, trees, and irreducible automorphisms of free groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 7(2):215–244, 1997.
- [BFH00] Mladen Bestvina, Mark Feighn, and Michael Handel. The Tits alternative for $\text{Out}(F_n)$. I. Dynamics of exponentially-growing automorphisms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 151(2):517–623, 2000.
- [BH92] Mladen Bestvina and Michael Handel. Train tracks and automorphisms of free groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 135(1):1–51, 1992.
- [CHL06] Thierry Coulbois, Arnaud Hilion, and Martin Lustig. Which $\mathbb{R}$ -trees can be mapped continuously to a current? *Preprint*, 2006.
- [CHL08a] Thierry Coulbois, Arnaud Hilion, and Martin Lustig. $\mathbb{R}$ -trees and laminations for free groups. I. Algebraic laminations. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 78(3):723–736, 2008.
- [CHL08b] Thierry Coulbois, Arnaud Hilion, and Martin Lustig. $\mathbb{R}$ -trees and laminations for free groups. II. The dual lamination of an $\mathbb{R}$ -tree. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 78(3):737–754, 2008.
- [CL95] Marshall M. Cohen and Martin Lustig. Very small group actions on $\mathbf{R}$ -trees and Dehn twist automorphisms. *Topology*, 34(3):575–617, 1995.
- [CM87] Marc Culler and John W. Morgan. Group actions on $\mathbf{R}$ -trees. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 55(3):571–604, 1987.
- [Coo87] Daryl Cooper. Automorphisms of free groups have finitely generated fixed point sets. *J. Algebra*, 111(2):453–456, 1987.
- [CP12] Matt Clay and Alexandra Pettet. Relative twisting in outer space. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 4(2):173–201, 2012.
- [CV86] Marc Culler and Karen Vogtmann. Moduli of graphs and automorphisms of free groups. *Invent. Math.*, 84(1):91–119, 1986.
- [FM13] Stefano Francaviglia and Armando Martino. Stretching factors, metrics and train tracks for free products. *arXiv:1312.4172*, December 2013.
- [GH16] Vincent Guirardel and Camille Horbez. . *In preparation*, 2016.
- [GJLL98] Damien Gaboriau, Andre Jaeger, Gilbert Levitt, and Martin Lustig. An index for counting fixed points of automorphisms of free groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 93(3):425–452, 1998.
- [GL95] Damien Gaboriau and Gilbert Levitt. The rank of actions on $\mathbf{R}$ -trees. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 28(5):549–570, 1995.
- [GL07] Vincent Guirardel and Gilbert Levitt. The outer space of a free product. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 94(3):695–714, 2007.
- [Gui04] Vincent Guirardel. Limit groups and groups acting freely on $\mathbb{R}^n$ -trees. *Geom. Topol.*, 8:1427–1470 (electronic), 2004.

- [Gup16] Radhika Gupta. Relative Currents. *arXiv:1611.01668*, November 2016.
- [HM14] Michael Handel and Lee Mosher. Relative free splitting and relative free factor complexes I: Hyperbolicity. *arXiv:1407.3508v1*, 2014.
- [Hor14] Camille Horbez. The boundary of the outer space of a free product. *arXiv:1408.0543*, August 2014.
- [Hor16] Camille Horbez. Spectral rigidity for primitive elements of F_N . *J. Group Theory*, 19(1):55–123, 2016.
- [KL09] Ilya Kapovich and Martin Lustig. Geometric intersection number and analogues of the curve complex for free groups. *Geom. Topol.*, 13(3):1805–1833, 2009.
- [KL10] Ilya Kapovich and Martin Lustig. Intersection form, laminations and currents on free groups. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 19(5):1426–1467, 2010.
- [Lev94] Gilbert Levitt. Graphs of actions on $\mathbf{R}$ -trees. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 69(1):28–38, 1994.
- [LL03] Gilbert Levitt and Martin Lustig. Irreducible automorphisms of F_n have north-south dynamics on compactified outer space. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 2(1):59–72, 2003.
- [Mar95] Reiner Martin. *Non-uniquely ergodic foliations of thin-type, measured currents and automorphisms of free groups*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1995. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, Los Angeles.
- [MM99] Howard A. Masur and Yair N. Minsky. Geometry of the complex of curves. I. Hyperbolicity. *Invent. Math.*, 138(1):103–149, 1999.
- [She55] Abe Shenitzer. Decomposition of a group with a single defining relation into a free product. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6:273–279, 1955.
- [Swa86] G. A. Swarup. Decompositions of free groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 40(1):99–102, 1986.
- [Uya14] Caglar Uyanik. Dynamics of hyperbolic iwips. *Conform. Geom. Dyn.*, 18:192–216, 2014.

UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

E-mail address: `gupta@math.utah.edu`